IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

MARTIN R SCHON

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 13A-UI-12207-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

AVENTURE STAFFING & PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Employer

OC: 09/22/13

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-3-a – Refusal to Accept Suitable Work

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Martin Schon (claimant) appealed a representative's October 28, 2013, decision (reference 01) that concluded he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because he refused suitable work with Aventure Staffing & Professional Services (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for November 21, 2013. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Deb Miller, Human Resources Assistant, and Kelsey Plueger, Industrial Branch Manager. The claimant offered and Exhibit A was received into evidence.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant refused suitable work.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The employer is a temporary agency. The claimant worked for the employer from March 25 through September 23, 2013, at two different assignments. The claimant filed a new claim for unemployment insurance benefits with an effective date of September 22, 2013. The claimant's highest quarter of wages during his base period was the third quarter of 2012, during which his wages totaled \$6,631.00. The claimant's average weekly wage during his highest quarter of wages was, therefore, \$556.47.

On September 24, 2013, the employer offered the claimant work at TNT Water Blasting. The work was full time and paid \$11.00 per hour for one day of training and then \$14.50 per hour thereafter. The claimant would work more than forty hours per week for two weeks and would be paid \$575.00 per week. The employer would provide rubber boots for the claimant to wear. The claimant could not wear steel toed boots due to problem with his feet. The claimant refused the job as undesirable.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant did not accept an offer of suitable work.

Iowa Code section 96.5-3-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.
- a. In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:
- (1) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.
- (2) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.
- (3) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.
- (4) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

The work was offered within one week of the claimant's unemployment and was required to provide the claimant wages 100 percent of those paid to the claimant during the highest quarter of his base period. The evidence establishes that the claimant would have received at least 100 percent of his average weekly wages during his highest quarter of earnings. Based on the factors found in Iowa Code section 96.5-3-a, the work offered to the claimant was suitable work. The claimant is disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits.

ח	F	C	ISI	0	N	
ப		•		u	ıv	_

The representative's October 28, 2013, decision (reference 01) is affirmed.	The claimant is not
qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits.	

Beth A. Scheetz

Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/css