IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

CRYSTAL R MULLINIX

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 24A-UI-02324-JT-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

KWIK TRIP INC

Employer

OC: 01/28/24

Claimant: Respondent (2)

Iowa Code Section 96.5(2)(a) – Discharge for Misconduct Iowa Code Section 96.3(7) - Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On February 27, 2024, the employer filed a timely appeal from the February 20, 2024 (reference 01) decision that allowed benefits to the claimant, provided the claimant met all other eligibility requirements, and that held the employer's account could be charged for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion that the claimant was discharged on December 20, 2023 for no disqualifying reason. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on March 22, 2024. Crystal Mullinix (claimant) did not comply with the hearing notice instructions to call the designated number at the time of the hearing and did not participate. Kimberley Keil represented the employer. Exhibits 1 through 7 were received into evidence. The administrative law judge took official notice of the following agency administrative records: DBRO and KFFV. The administrative law judge took official notice of the fact-finding materials for the limited purpose of determining whether the employer participated in the fact-finding interview and, if not, whether the claimant engaged in fraud or intentional misrepresentation in connection with the fact-finding interview.

ISSUES:

Whether the claimant was discharged for misconduct in connection with the employment.

Whether the claimant was overpaid benefits.

Whether the claimant must repay overpaid benefits.

Whether the employer's account may be charged.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

Crystal Mullinix (claimant) was employed by Kwik Trip, Inc. as a full-time Guest Service Coworker (clerk) from 2020 until December 20, 2023, when the employer discharged her from the employment. On November 29, 2023, the claimant accessed the employer's timekeeping system and knowingly and intentionally created a bogus time report for November 25, 2023. The claimant indicated in the timekeeping system that she had worked an eight-hour shift,

2:00 p.m. to 10:05 p.m., on November 25. On or about December 1, 2023, Katrina Baker, Store Leader (manager) discovered the bogus time report as she performed her usual audit of time reports. Ms. Baker confirmed that the claimant had not been at work on November 25, 2023. Ms. Baker reviewed video surveillance that showed the claimant at the computer at the time the bogus time report was created. On or about December 4, 2023, Ms. Baker notified the employer's human resources personnel of the bogus time report. The human resources personnel provided instructions for discussing the matter with the claimant. On December 13, 2023, Ms. Baker discussed the bogus time report with claimant. At that time, the employer suspended the claimant pending further review of the matter. Ms. Baker told the claimant that the conduct could lead to discharge from the employment. On December 20, 2023, the employer discharged the claimant from the employment. The claimant has received appropriate training on the timekeeping system.

The employer has a Code of Conduct policy that indicates falsification of employment documents, including payroll records, would result in immediate termination of the employment. The policy also indicates that intentional dishonesty could lead to termination of the employment. The employer had provided the Code of Conduct to the claimant at the start of the employment.

The claimant established an original claim for benefits that was effective January 28, 2024 and received \$3,857.00 in benefits for seven week between January 28, 2024 and March 16, 2024. This employer is the sole base period employer.

On February 19, 2024, an lowa Workforce Development Benefits Bureau deputy held a fact-finding interview that addressed the claimant's separation from the employment. The claimant participated in the fact-finding and falsely asserted that the false time report was an accident. The claimant knew at the time she made that statement that the assertion was false. The employer did not answer the deputy's call to the phone number of record for the employer. However, the employer submitted detailed documentation concerning the conduct that triggered the discharge, the employer's investigation of the matter, and the employer's policies. The documents provided by the employer were sufficient to indicate a discharge for misconduct in connection with the employment if unrebutted.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

lowa Code section 96.5(2)(a) and (d) provides as follows:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

d. For the purposes of this subsection, "misconduct" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and

substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and

obligations to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following:

- (1) Material falsification of the individual's employment application.
- (2) Knowing violation of a reasonable and uniformly enforced rule of an employer.
- (10) Falsification of any work-related report, task, or job that could expose the employer or coworkers to legal liability or sanction for violation of health or safety laws.
- (13) Theft of an employer or coworker's funds or property.
- (14) Intentional misrepresentation of time worked or work carried out that results in the individual receiving unearned wages or unearned benefits.

See also Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)(a) (duplicating the language of the statute).

The employer has the burden of proof in this matter. See Iowa Code section 96.6(2). Misconduct must be substantial in order to justify a denial of unemployment benefits. Misconduct serious enough to warrant the discharge of an employee is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of unemployment benefits. See *Lee v. Employment Appeal Board*, 616 N.W.2d 661 (Iowa 2000). The focus is on deliberate, intentional, or culpable acts by the employee. See *Gimbel v. Employment Appeal Board*, 489 N.W.2d 36, 39 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992).

While past acts and warnings can be used to determine the magnitude of the current act of misconduct, a discharge for misconduct cannot be based on such past act(s). The termination of employment must be based on a current act. See Iowa Admin. Code r.871 24.32(8). In determining whether the conduct that prompted the discharge constituted a "current act," the administrative law judge considers the date on which the conduct came to the attention of the employer and the date on which the employer notified the claimant that the conduct subjected the claimant to possible discharge. See also *Greene v. EAB*, 426 N.W.2d 659, 662 (Iowa App. 1988).

Allegations of misconduct or dishonesty without additional evidence shall not be sufficient to result in disqualification. If the employer is unwilling to furnish available evidence to corroborate the allegation, misconduct cannot be established. See 871 IAC 24.32(4).

The evidence in the record establishes a discharge for misconduct in connection with the employment. The claimant knowingly and intentionally created a false time report for the purpose of receiving pay for work she did not perform. The claimant's conduct demonstrated a willful and wanton disregard for the employer's interests. The employer did not unreasonably delay between the discovery of the misconduct and placing the claimant on notice of possible discharge from the employment. The claimant is disqualified for benefits until she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to 10 times her weekly benefit amount. The claimant must meet all other eligibility requirements.

Iowa Code section 96.3(7) provides in relevant part as follows:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from

any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

b. (1)

- (a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. The employer shall not be relieved of charges if benefits are paid because the employer or an agent of the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits. This prohibition against relief of charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers. If the department determines that an employer's failure to respond timely or adequately was due to insufficient notification from the department, the employer's account shall not be charged for the overpayment.
- (b) However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment.

lowa Administrative Code rule 87124.10(1) and (4), regarding employer participation in fact-finding interviews, provides as follows:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.

24.10(1) "Participate," as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to the employer. The most effective means to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the quit. The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871—subrule 24.32(7). On the other hand, written or oral statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and information submitted after the fact-finding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.

(4) "Fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual," as the term is used for claimants in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa

Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means providing knowingly false statements or knowingly false denials of material facts for the purpose of obtaining unemployment insurance benefits. Statements or denials may be either oral or written by the claimant. Inadvertent misstatements or mistakes made in good faith are not considered fraud or willful misrepresentation.

The claimant received \$3,857.00 in benefits for seven week between January 28, 2024 and March 16, 2024, but this decision disqualifies the claimant for those benefits. The benefits are an overpayment. The employer satisfied the fact-finding participation requirement through its detailed documentation. The claimant knowingly and intentionally misrepresented material facts in connection with the fact-finding interview. The claimant must repay the overpaid benefits. The employer's account is relieved of charge, including charge for benefits already paid to the claimant.

DECISION:

The February 20, 2024 (reference 01) decision is reversed. The claimant was discharged on December 20, 2023 for misconduct in connection with the employment. The claimant is disqualified for unemployment benefits until she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to 10 times her weekly benefit amount. The claimant must meet all other eligibility requirements. The claimant is overpaid \$3,857.00 in benefits for seven week between January 28, 2024 and March 16, 2024. The claimant must repay the overpaid benefits. The employer's account is relieved of charge, including charge for benefits already paid to the claimant.

James E. Timberland Administrative Law Judge

James & Timberland

March 28, 2024
Decision Dated and Mailed

scn

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Ave Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Ave Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclaimante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que está en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclaimante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.