IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JUSTIN J WOLVERTON

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 14A-UI-02184-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

WINNEBAGO INDUSTRIES

Employer

OC: 01/26/14

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Justin Wolverton (claimant) appealed a representative's February 17, 2014, decision (reference 01) that concluded he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because he voluntarily quit work with Winnebago Industries (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for March 19, 2014. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Gary McCarthy, Personnel Supervisor and Susan Gardner, Personnel Administrator.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on July 22, 2013, as a full-time certified welder. The claimant signed for receipt of the employer's handbook on July 22, 2013. The claimant was ill on November 7, 8, 11, and 12, 2013, and properly reported his absences due to illness. On November 13, 14 and 15, 2013, the claimant did not appear for work and did not call to inform the employer of the reason for the failure to appear for work. The employer has a policy that an employee will be considered to have quit if the employee is absent for three days without giving notice to the employer. The claimant was considered to have quit on November 15, 2013 for failing to appear for work without notice for three days.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The administrative law judge finds the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer.

871 IAC 24.25(4) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an

employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant was absent for three days without giving notice to employer in violation of company rule.

The claimant was absent from work for more than three days without giving notice to the employer. The employer has a rule that if the employee is absent without notice to the employer for three days the employee is deemed to have voluntarily quit. The claimant is deemed to have voluntarily quit based on his absence from work for three days without giving notice to the employer. There is no evidence of good cause attributable to the employer.

The claimant's and the employer's testimony is not the same. The administrative law judge finds the employer's testimony to be more credible because the claimant's testimony was internally inconsistent.

DECISION:

The representative's February 17, 2014, decision (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until the claimant has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge	-
Decision Dated and Mailed	
bas/pjs	