# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**SANDRA LEHMAN** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO: 07A-UI-05697-BT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

**DECISION** 

HEARTLAND VASCULAR MEDICINE AND SURGERY PC

Employer

OC: 01/28/07 R: 02 Claimant: Respondent (2)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.3-7 – Overpayment

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Heartland Vascular Medicine and Surgery, PC (employer) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated May 29, 2007, reference 04, which held that Sandra Lehman (claimant) was eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on June 25, 2007. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer participated through owner Dr. Allen Koslow. Employer's Exhibit One was admitted into evidence. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

## ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant's voluntary separation from employment qualifies her to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was employed as a full-time receptionist and then office manager from December 21, 2005 through January 2, 2007. She left for Michigan for a family emergency on January 3, 2007 and fractured her right leg on January 4, 2007. The claimant returned to lowa and a physician took her off work on January 7, 2007. She never provided the employer with any medical documentation and never directly contacted the owner by cell phone, even though she was aware of that telephone number and knew he could be easily reached by cell phone. The claimant was not released to return to work until March 10, 2007 but did not return to the employer to offer her services after that release.

The claimant filed a claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective January 28, 2007 and has received benefits after the separation from employment.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue to be determined is whether the reasons for the claimant's separation from employment qualify her to receive unemployment insurance benefits. The claimant is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits if she voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code sections 96.5-1. The claimant left her employment on January 4, 2007 due to a non-work-related medical condition.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

## 871 IAC 24.25(35) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (35) The claimant left because of illness or injury which was not caused or aggravated by the employment or pregnancy and failed to:
- (a) Obtain the advice of a licensed and practicing physician;
- (b) Obtain certification of release for work from a licensed and practicing physician;
- (c) Return to the employer and offer services upon recovery and certification for work by a licensed and practicing physician; or
- (d) Fully recover so that the claimant could perform all of the duties of the job.

The claimant was unable to work due to a non-work-related medical condition. She did advise her employer she was unable to work but failed to provide medical documentation and failed to provide detailed information. The claimant never contacted the employer after February 1, 2007 even after she was released without restrictions on March 10, 2007. The claimant is unable to

Appeal No. 07A-UI-05697-BT

show that she comes within the exception of section 96.5(1)(d). Accordingly, the separation is without good cause attributable to the employer and benefits must be denied.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of lowa law.

## **DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance decision dated May 29, 2007, reference 04, is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until she has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$1,764.00.

Susan D. Ackerman Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
sda/pis	