IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

JUSTIN W DUVAL

Claimant

APPEAL 15A-UI-05582-JCT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

MEDIACOM COMMUNICATIONS

Employer

OC: 04/12/15

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)d – Voluntary Quitting/Illness or Injury

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the May 1, 2015, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon separation. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on June 16, 2015. The claimant participated. The employer participated through Lisa Glasgo. Sherry Miller also testified for the employer. Claimant Exhibits One and Two were admitted.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed full time as a customer service representative beginning August 5, 2013 and was separated from employment on April 3, 2015, when he voluntarily quit without notice. Continuing work was available.

The claimant resigned due to alleged stressful work conditions. No credible medical provider has indicated that the claimant's current medical condition was exacerbated due to the workplace conditions while employed. The claimant had a history of anxiety and panic attacks and he believed working with disgruntled customers exacerbated his conditions. After separation, the claimant provided on May 7, 2015, a letter from his treating physician, advising that she had advised him to quit work (Claimant Exhibit One). Since that time, the claimant has not obtained a release to return to work for this employer without restrictions.

The claimant never told the employer he was contemplating resignation due his medical conditions. The last documentation provided to the employer prior to him quitting was that the claimant had been fully released, without restrictions. Had the claimant not resigned, continuing work was also available.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's separation from the employment was without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(35) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (35) The claimant left because of illness or injury which was not caused or aggravated by the employment or pregnancy and failed to:
- (a) Obtain the advice of a licensed and practicing physician;
- (b) Obtain certification of release for work from a licensed and practicing physician;
- (c) Return to the employer and offer services upon recovery and certification for work by a licensed and practicing physician; or
- (d) Fully recover so that the claimant could perform all of the duties of the job.

The statute specifically requires that the employee has recovered from the illness or injury, and this recovery has been certified by a physician. The exception in section 96.5(1)(d) only applies when an employee is *fully* recovered and the employer has not held open the employee's position. *White*, 487 N.W.2d at 346; *Hedges v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 368 N.W.2d 862, 867 (Iowa Ct. App. 1985); see also *Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged Ass'n.*, 468 N.W.2d 223, 226 (Iowa 1991) (noting the full recovery standard of section 96.5(1)(d)).

In this case, the claimant did not provide information that he has fully recovered and is able to return to work for this employer. Therefore, the claimant has failed to show he left employment because of illness upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, and then recovered and offered to return to services.

While the claimant's leaving the employment may have been based upon good personal reasons, it was not for a good-cause reason attributable to the employer according to lowa law. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The May 1, 2015, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Jennifer L. Coe Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
ilc/css	