# IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

**ALISHA M EBERT** 

Claimant

**APPEAL 24A-UI-01035-DZ-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

FC COMPASSUS, LLC

**Employer** 

OC: 12/17/23

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quit

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Alisha Ebert, the claimant/appellant,¹ appealed the Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) January 17, 2024 (reference 01) unemployment insurance (UI) decision. IWD denied Ms. Ebert REGULAR (state) UI benefits because IWD concluded she voluntarily quit on December 15, 2023 for personal reasons, and the employer did not cause her quitting. On February 1, 2024, the Iowa Department of Inspections, Appeals, and Licensing (DIAL), UI Appeals Bureau mailed a notice of hearing to Ms. Ebert and the employer for a telephone hearing scheduled for February 15, 2024.

The administrative law judge held a telephone hearing on February 15, 2024. Ms. Ebert participated in the hearing personally. Davon Ebert, Ms. Ebert's husband, participated as a witness for Ms. Ebert. The employer did not participate in the hearing. The administrative law judge admitted Claimant's Exhibit A as evidence.

## ISSUE:

Did Ms. Ebert voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Ms. Ebert began working for the employer on October 9, 2023. She worked as a full-time medical social worker for hospice care. Her employment ended on December 15, 2023.

A few weeks after her hire date, another employee resigned. Ms. Ebert heard her manager talk about the former employee in a way that Ms. Ebert considered unprofessional. At some point thereafter, Ms. Ebert's managers told her to make certain patients a lower priority in the hopes that the patients would die before Ms. Ebert was able to provide service to the patients. Ms. Ebert concluded that the employer's morals and her morals did not match.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Claimant is the person who applied for UI benefits. Appellant is the person or employer who appealed.

For several weeks, Ms. Ebert struggled in the job because of the high caseload and the amount of driving required for the job. Ms. Ebert has almost twice the caseload as her two social worker co-workers. Ms. Ebert asked the employer for help. The employer told Ms. Ebert that co-workers would help her, and things would get better. They did not. Ms. Ebert found a mentor on her own, but the mentor's directives conflicted with Ms. Ebert's managers' directive. The employer told Ms. Ebert to follow the managers' directives.

By December 7, Ms. Ebert concluded that the work environment was hostile, and she could no longer work for the employer. The next day, December 8, Ms. Ebert sent the employer an email resigning effective December 15. Ms. Ebert did not list a reason for her resignation in the email. Ms. Ebert resigned because she felt that she was overworked due to her high caseload and the amount of driving required for the job, her morals and the employer's morals did not match, and she had to prioritize her mental health over the job. Ms. Ebert's last day working for this employer was December 15.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes Ms. Ebert's separation from employment on December 15, 2023 was with good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(37) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(37) The claimant will be considered to have left employment voluntarily when such claimant gave the employer notice of an intention to resign and the employer accepted such resignation. This rule shall also apply to the claimant who was employed by an educational institution who has declined or refused to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of work for a successive academic term or year and the offer of work was within the purview of the individual's training and experience.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(4) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer.<sup>2</sup> A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention.<sup>3</sup> "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular.<sup>4</sup> "Good cause attributable to the employer" does not require fault, negligence, wrongdoing or bad faith by the employer.<sup>5</sup> Good cause may be attributable to "the employment itself" rather than the employer personally and still satisfy the requirements of the law.<sup>6</sup>

Generally, an employee is required to give notice of an intent to quit to give the employer an opportunity to fix working conditions.<sup>7</sup> In 1995, the lowa Administrative Code was amended to include an intent-to-quit requirement. However, the requirement was only added to rule 871-24.26(6)(b), the provision addressing work-related health problems. No intent-to-quit requirement was added to rule 871-24.26(4), the intolerable working conditions provision. The lowa Supreme Court concluded that, because the intent-to-quit requirement was added to 871-24.26(6)(b) but not 871-24.26(4), notice of intent to quit is not required for intolerable working conditions.<sup>8</sup>

So, Ms. Ebert was not required to give the employer notice about intolerable or detrimental working conditions before she quit. But she must prove that her working conditions were intolerable, detrimental, or unsafe.

It is reasonable to the average person that Ms. Ebert should not have to work in an environment where her manager at a job providing care for people in hospice would tell Ms. Ebert to essentially let people die instead of providing them with care. Ms. Ebert's job ended when she quit because of her working conditions, and Ms. Ebert has established that her working conditions were intolerable and detrimental. Ms. Ebert's quit is attributable to the employer and she is eligible for UI benefits.

<sup>3</sup> Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (lowa 1980).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Iowa Code § 96.6(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Dehmel v. Employment Appeal Bd., 433 N.W.2d 700, 702 (lowa 1988)("[G]ood cause attributable to the employer can exist even though the employer is free from all negligence or wrongdoing in connection therewith"); Shontz v. Iowa Employment Sec. Commission, 248 N.W.2d 88, 91 (lowa 1976)(benefits payable even though employer "free from fault"); Raffety v. Iowa Employment Security Commission, 76 N.W.2d 787, 788 (lowa 1956)("The good cause attributable to the employer need not be based upon a fault or wrong of such employer.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Raffety, 76 N.W.2d at 788.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Cobb v. Employment Appeal Board, 506 N.W.2d 445, 447-78 (Iowa 1993), Suluki v. Employment Appeal Board, 503 N.W.2d 402, 405 (Iowa 1993), and Swanson v. Employment Appeal Board, 554 N.W.2d 294, 296 (Iowa Ct. App. 1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Employment Appeal Bd., 710 N.W.2d 1 (Iowa 2005).

# **DECISION:**

The January 17, 2024 (reference 01) UI decision is REVERSED. Ms. Ebert voluntarily left her employment on December 15, 2023 with good cause attributable to the employer. Ms. Ebert is eligible for REGULAR (state) UI benefits, as long as no other decision denies her benefits

Daniel Zeno

Administrative Law Judge

February 20, 2024

Decision Dated and Mailed

DZ/jkb

**APPEAL RIGHTS.** If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

## AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf">https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</a> or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court <a href="https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/">https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/</a>.

**Note to Parties:** YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

**Note to Claimant:** It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

## **SERVICE INFORMATION:**

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

**DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN.** Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

## UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de lowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf">https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</a> o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal <a href="https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/">https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/</a>.

**Nota para las partes:** USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

**Nota para el reclamante:** es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

## **SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:**

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.