IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

MARK E FIRZLAFF

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-13640-MT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CARE INITIATIVES

Employer

OC: 09/11/11

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.4-3 – Able and Available

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated October 12, 2011, reference 01, which held claimant not able and available for work. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on November 16, 2011. Claimant participated personally. Employer declined to participate. Exhibits One and A were admitted into evidence.

ISSUE:

The issue in this matter is whether claimant is able and available for work.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant was working at Dubuque. The facility closed due to a water main break. Claimant was offered the choice of traveling or going on unemployment. The travel was very tedious. Claimant tried to travel full time for about five months. Claimant could not tolerate the change in job duties and went to part-time travel. Claimant could have refused the travel job as a significant change in the contract of hire.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept

suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

871 IAC 24.22(1)a provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

- (1) Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.
- a. Illness, injury or pregnancy. Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.

871 IAC 24.23(35) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(35) Where the claimant is not able to work and is under the care of a physician and has not been released as being able to work.

871 IAC 24.26(1) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(1) A change in the contract of hire. An employer's willful breach of contract of hire shall not be a disqualifiable issue. This would include any change that would jeopardize the worker's safety, health or morals. The change of contract of hire must be substantial in nature and could involve changes in working hours, shifts, remuneration, location of employment, drastic modification in type of work, etc. Minor changes in a worker's routine on the job would not constitute a change of contract of hire.

Benefits shall be allowed effective September 11, 2011. Claimant should not be prejudiced for trying a temporary job with the company. Claimant could have refused the job because it was a significant change in the contract of hire and then collected full unemployment. The fact that claimant gave it a try should not prejudice his receipt of temporary unemployment. Benefits allowed.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated October 12, 2011, reference 01, is reversed.	Claimant
is eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits, effective September 11, 2011,	provided
claimant meets all other eligibility requirements.	

Marlon Mormann Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

mdm/css