BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building

Fourth floor
Des Moines, Iowa 50319

	:
SHARON N CARLSON	: HEARING NUMBER: 18BUI-07852
Claimant	: HEARING NUMBER: 10801-07002
and	EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD DECISION
CROSSMARK INC	DECISION
Employer	· ·
NOTICE	
THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a request for a REHEARING is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 20 days of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days of the date of the Board's decision.	
A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in DISTRICT COURT within 30 days of the date of the denial.	
SECTION: 96.5-2-A	
DECISION	
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED	
The Employer appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board, one member dissenting, finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is AFFIRMED .	
Ashley R. Koopmans	

James M. Strohman

DISSENTING OPINION OF KIM D. SCHMETT:

I respectfully dissent from the majority decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the administrative law judge's decision. I would find the Employer's delay in terminating the Claimant after the final act was not unreasonable in light of the time it took to investigate the matter. For this reason, I would conclude the Employer satisfied its burden of proving the Claimant committed disqualifying misconduct. Benefits should be denied until such time she has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. See, lowa Code section 96.5(2)"a".

Kim D. Schmett

AMG/fnv