IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

MIKE J WHITAKER 231 – 9<sup>TH</sup> AVE COUNCIL BLUFFS IA 51503

JENNIE EDMUNDSON MEMORIAL HOSPITAL ATTN HUMAN RESOURCES DEPT 933 E PIERCE ST COUNCIL BLUFFS IA 50503

DEAN JENNINGS ATTORNEY AT LAW 25 MAIN PLACE STE 500 COUNCIL BLUFFS IA 51503 Appeal Number: 05A-UI-11568-H2T

OC: 10-16-05 R: 01 Claimant: Respondent (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319*.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

#### STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(A	dministrative Law Judge)	
])	Decision Dated & Mailed)	

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge/Misconduct Section 96.3-7 – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the November 2, 2005, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on November 30, 2005. The claimant did participate and was represented by Dean Jennings, Attorney at Law. The employer did participate through Kathy Heuwinkel, Benefits Specialist. Employer's Exhibit One was received.

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a cleaning technician full time beginning March 1, 2004 through October 17, 2005 when he was discharged. On October 12 one of the claimant's coworkers accused him of grabbing her and sexually propositioning her. She complained to the employer who investigated and subsequently discharged the claimant for violating the employer's sexual harassment policy. The alleged victim of the claimant did not testify at the hearing. At hearing the claimant admitted saying to his female coworker "hey, baby let's go make sweet love." The claimant denies ever touching the female coworker and contends that he was "just joking." The coworker reported that the claimant had made other offensive comments of a sexual nature to her. The employer's policy which was given to the claimant indicates that sexual harassment is defined as any behavior with sexual connotation and is unwelcome by the recipient and may be verbal in nature. The claimant knew the employer had a policy against sexual harassment.

The claimant has claimed and received unemployment insurance benefits after the separation from employment.

### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or

incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. <u>Huntoon v. Iowa Department of Job Service</u>, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

The claimant knew that the employer would not tolerate sexual harassment and the claimant admits he had been given a copy of the employer's policy. The claimant's argument that he was just joking does not allow sexually harassing behavior. In addition, his argument that the coworker did not seem to mind does not make sense in light of her subsequent complaint that same night and the claimant's own apology that same night. The claimant admits asking a coworker to make love to him. He knew or should have know that asking a coworker for sex could be offensive to a reasonable person. The claimant's action constitutes disqualifying misconduct. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of Iowa law.

## **DECISION:**

The November 2, 2005, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$1,645.00.

tkh/tjc