IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

SHABANI T ESPERANCE

Claimant

APPEAL 15A-UI-12733-SC-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

L A LEASING INC

Employer

OC: 10/25/15

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting – Temporary Employment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Shabani Esperance (claimant) filed an appeal from the November 13, 2015, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon the determination she voluntarily quit her employment when she failed to notify the L A Leasing, Inc. (employer) within three days of her last job assignment that she was available for work. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on December 7, 2015. The claimant participated on her own behalf and through interpreter Zara (employee shzh from CTS Language Link). The employer participated through Unemployment Benefits Administrator Colleen McGuinty and Administrative Assistant Corey Thompson.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant quit by not reporting for additional work assignments within three business days of the end of the last assignment?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed in a temporary full-time as an Assembler at Westrock, formerly known as Rock-Tenn, beginning on May 31, 2013, and her last day worked was October 20, 2015. The onsite coordinator at Westrock notified the claimant on that day that the company was closing its lowa location and moving to another locale.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's separation was with good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed.

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, but the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

For the purposes of this paragraph:

- (1) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.
- (2) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

The purpose of the statute is to provide notice to the temporary agency employer that the claimant is available for work at the conclusion of the temporary assignment. In this case, the employer had notice of the claimant's availability because its onsite coordinator notified her of the end of the assignment. Benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The November 13, 2015, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is reversed. The claimant's separation from employment was attributable to the employer. The employer had adequate knowledge about the conclusion of the claimant's assignment but had no further work available at the time. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. Any benefits claimed and withheld on this basis shall be paid.

Stephanie R. Callahan Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

src/pjs