BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD

Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

:

JEREMY S GUYMON

HEARING NUMBER: 14B-UI-05948

Claimant,

.

and

EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD DECISION

PINNACLE FOODS GROUP LLC

Employer.

NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a request for a REHEARING is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 20 days of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

SECTION: 96.5-1

DECISION

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED

The Claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. With the following modification, the administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED** with the following **MODIFICATION**:

The Employment Appeal Board would modify the administrative law judge's Reasoning and Conclusions of Law as follows:

This case could also be analyzed as a discharge for which misconduct was established based on his numerous unexcused absences.

871 IAC 24.32(7) provides:

Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

Lastly, the Claimant submitted a written argument to the Employment Appeal Board. The Employmer
Appeal Board reviewed the argument. A portion of the argument consisted of additional evidence whic
was not contained in the administrative file and which was not submitted to the administrative law judge
While the argument and additional evidence were considered, the Employment Appeal Board, in it
discretion, finds that the admission of the additional evidence is not warranted in reaching today's decision.

Kim D. Schmett
Ashley R. Koopmans

AMG/fnv