## IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

JEFFREY S CALDWELL Claimant	APPEAL 19A-UI-02508-NM-T ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
ROBERT HALF CORPORATION	OC: 09/09/18
Employer	Claimant: Appellant (4)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quit

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On March 22, 2019, the claimant filed an appeal from the March 14, 2019, (reference 03) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based on his voluntary quit. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on April 9, 2019. Claimant participated and testified. Employer did not participate, as its designated representative, Jennetta Williams, was not available at either telephone number provided. Claimant's Exhibits A through D were received into evidence.

#### ISSUE:

Is the claimant's separation from the employment with good cause attributable to the employer?

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant began working for employer on December 10, 2018. Claimant last worked as a full-time help desk technician. Claimant was separated from employment on February 6, 2019, when he voluntarily resigned due to a non-work related injury.

On February 6, 2019, claimant was at home working on his car when he slipped on a patch of ice and broke his right elbow. Claimant's doctor advised him that he would be unable to work or drive until his injury healed. Claimant went in for a follow-up appointment on March 4, 2019. His doctor informed him he would be released to return to sedentary work effective March 14, 2019 and confirmed he would be able to perform his regular job duties. (Exhibit A). On March 11, 2019 the claimant phoned Jennetta Williams to let her know when she could expect him to return to work. Later that same day claimant informed Williams he would only be able to work for this employer, Robert Half Corporation, for one week because he had accepted another position. The employer then declined to allow claimant to return to work for the week he was available.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant's separation from the employment was without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1)d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(35) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(35) The claimant left because of illness or injury which was not caused or aggravated by the employment or pregnancy and failed to:

(a) Obtain the advice of a licensed and practicing physician;

(b) Obtain certification of release for work from a licensed and practicing physician;

(c) Return to the employer and offer services upon recovery and certification for work by a licensed and practicing physician; or

(d) Fully recover so that the claimant could perform all of the duties of the job.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(6)b provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(6) Separation because of illness, injury, or pregnancy.

b. Employment related separation. The claimant was compelled to leave employment because of an illness, injury, or allergy condition that was attributable to the employment. Factors and circumstances directly connected with the employment which caused or aggravated the illness, injury, allergy, or disease to the employee which made it impossible for the employee to continue in employment because of serious danger to the employee's health may be held to be an involuntary termination of employment and constitute good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant will be eligible for benefits if compelled to leave employment as a result of an injury suffered on the job.

In order to be eligible under this paragraph "b" an individual must present competent evidence showing adequate health reasons to justify termination; before quitting have informed the employer of the work-related health problem and inform the employer that the individual intends to quit unless the problem is corrected or the individual is reasonably accommodated. Reasonable accommodation includes other comparable work which is not injurious to the claimant's health and for which the claimant must remain available.

The court in *Gilmore v. Empl. Appeal Bd.*, 695 N.W.2d 44 (Iowa Ct. App. 2004) noted, insofar as the Employment Security Law is not designed to provide health and disability insurance, only those employees who experience illness-induced separations that can fairly be attributed to the employer are properly eligible for unemployment benefits." *White v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 487 N.W.2d 342, 345 (Iowa 1992) (citing *Butts v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 328 N.W.2d 515, 517 (Iowa 1983)).

Subsection d of Iowa Code § 96.5(1) provides an exception where:

The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and ... the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

The statute specifically requires that the employee has recovered from the illness or injury, and this recovery has been certified by a physician. The exception in section 96.5(1)(d) only applies when an employee is *fully* recovered and the employer has not held open the employee's position. *White*, 487 N.W.2d at 346; *Hedges v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 368 N.W.2d 862, 867 (Iowa Ct. App. 1985); see also *Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged Ass'n.*, 468 N.W.2d 223, 226 (Iowa 1991) (noting the full recovery standard of section 96.5(1)(d)). In the Gilmore case he was not fully recovered from his injury and was unable to show that he fell within the exception of section 96.5(1)(d). Therefore, because his injury was not connected to his employment and he had not fully recovered, he was considered to have voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer and was not entitled to unemployment benefits. See *White*, 487 N.W.2d at 345; *Shontz*, 248 N.W.2d at 91. An employee's failure to return to the employer and offer services upon recovery from an injury "statutorily constitutes a voluntary quit and disqualifies an individual from unemployment insurance benefits." *Brockway v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 469 N.W.2d 256 (Iowa Ct. App. 1991). In 1995, the Iowa Administrative Code was amended to include an intent-to-quit requirement added to rule 871-24.26(6)(b), the provision

addressing work-related health problems. *Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Emp't Appeal Bd.,* 710 N.W.2d 1 (Iowa 2005).

Here, claimant temporary separated from employment on February 6, 2019 due to a non-work related injury. Claimant was released to return to work, performing all of his regular job duties, effective March 14, 2019. Claimant notified the employer of his release on March 11, 2019. Claimant also informed the employer he had accepted other employment and would only be available to return to work for one week before starting that other employment. The employer declined to return claimant to work. Claimant offered to return to work for the week beginning March 17, 2019, but the employer declined his offer of services, as it was limited in duration due to his acceptance of other employment. Claimant did not meet the eligibility requirement prior to this date. Accordingly, benefits are allowed beginning March 17, 2019, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

### **DECISION:**

The March 14, 2019, (reference 03) unemployment insurance decision is modified in favor of the claimant. Claimant was separated from the employment with good cause attributable to employer. Benefits are allowed beginning March 17, 2019, as claimant was released to return to regular duties, but his offer of services to the employer was declined.

Nicole Merrill Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

nm/rvs