

**BEFORE THE  
EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD  
Lucas State Office Building  
Fourth floor  
Des Moines, Iowa 50319**

---

**AMANDA B INGOLI**

Claimant,

and

**WELLS FARGO BANK NA**

Employer.

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

**HEARING NUMBER: 13B-UI-01076**

**EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD  
DECISION**

**N O T I C E**

**THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL** unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

A **REHEARING REQUEST** shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

**SECTION: 96.4-3, 96.6-2**

**D E C I S I O N**

**UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED**

The Claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member concurring, reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

The majority Board members would note that the Claimant continues to be on a medical leave of absence pursuant to 817 IAC 24.22(2)"j". As such, she is deemed to be voluntarily unemployed and not eligible for benefits.

---

Monique F. Kuester

---

Cloyd (Robby) Robinson

**CONCURRING OPINION OF JOHN A. PENO:**

I agree with my fellow board members that the administrative law judge's decision should be affirmed; however, I would advise the Claimant to submit her doctor's medical release to the Iowa Workforce Development Center, Claims Section, for further consideration as to whether she is able and available for this Employer.

---

John A. Peno

A portion of the Claimant's appeal to the Employment Appeal Board consisted of additional evidence which was not contained in the administrative file and which was not submitted to the administrative law judge. While the appeal and additional evidence were reviewed, the Employment Appeal Board, in its discretion, finds that the admission of the additional evidence is not warranted in reaching today's decision.

---

John A. Peno

---

Monique F. Kuester

---

Cloyd (Robby) Robinson

AMG/fnv