IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

SHANNA L CANTRELL Claimant

APPEAL 24A-UI-07477-PT-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

WALMART INC Employer

> OC: 07/21/24 Claimant: Respondent (1)

lowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge lowa Code § 96.3(7) – Overpayment of Benefits lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 – Employer Participation in Fact-finding Interview

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer, Walmart Inc., filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated August 12, 2024 (reference 01) that held the claimant eligible for unemployment insurance benefits after a separation from employment. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 10, 2024. The claimant, Shanna Cantrell, participated personally. The employer was represented by Equifax Hearing Representative Caitlin Schrier and participated through Assistant Manager Elizabeth Marcano. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

ISSUES:

Whether the claimant was discharged for disqualifying, job-related misconduct. Whether the claimant has been overpaid any unemployment insurance benefits, and if so, whether the repayment of those benefits to the agency can be waived. Whether any charges to the employer's account can be waived.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: The claimant worked as a full-time floor associate for Walmart Inc. from October 5, 2013, to July 18, 2024, when she was discharged. The claimant worked from 5:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. five days per week with Wednesdays and Sundays off.

The employer uses a point system or no-fault absenteeism policy, wherein any unanticipated absence is considered "unexcused." Any unanticipated absence or tardy must be reported to the employer's attendance hotline prior to the start of the employee's shift. Employment is terminated if an employee receives seven attendance points in a rolling six month period. The claimant received a copy of the employer's attendance policy.

On March 25, 2024, prior to the start of her shift, the claimant called and notified the employer that she was going to be absent because her child was sick. The employer issued the claimant one point for her absence.

On July 8, 2024, the claimant called the attendance hotline before the start of her shift and notified the employer that she was going to be absent because she had to go to the emergency room due to a severe tooth infection. The employer issued the claimant one point for her absence.

On July 10, 2024, the claimant underwent surgery to have several teeth removed and to address the infection. After the surgery, the claimant's medical provider provided the claimant a note excusing her from work the next day, July 11, 2024. When the claimant returned home that evening, she was unable to speak due to the local anesthesia used during the surgery. For this reason, the claimant's partner called the employer's attendance hotline and informed the employer that the claimant would be absent from work the next day, July 11, 2024, due to having undergone emergency oral surgery.

The next day, the employer did not see the message from the claimant's partner, deemed the claimant's absence to be a "no call, no show," and issued the claimant three attendance points. On July 18, 2024, the employer called the claimant into a meeting and informed the claimant that her employment was being terminated effective immediately due to excessive, unexcused absences in violation of the employer's attendance policy. Prior to the claimant's separation from employment, she had never received any warnings or discipline concerning her attendance and she was unaware that her employment was in jeopardy.

The claimant's administrative record reflects that the claimant filed her original claim for benefits with an effective date of July 21, 2024. Since filing her initial claim, the claimant has filed weekly claims for seven-weeks between July 21 and September 7, 2024, and has received total benefits of \$2,569.00. The employer participated in the fact finding interview with lowa Workforce Development.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason.

lowa Code section 96.5(2)a and (d) provide:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

• • •

d. For the purposes of this subsection, "misconduct" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or even design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following:

...

(9) Excessive unexcused tardiness or absenteeism.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(7) provides:

(7) *Excessive unexcused absenteeism*. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

The employer has the burden to prove the claimant was discharged for work-connected misconduct as defined by the unemployment insurance law. *Cosper v. lowa Dept of Job Serv.*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982). The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating the claimant, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits. *Infante v. lowa Dept of Job Serv.*, 364 N.W.2d 262 (lowa Ct. App. 1984). What constitutes misconduct justifying termination of an employee and what misconduct warrants denial of unemployment insurance benefits are two separate decisions. *Pierce v. lowa Dept of Job Serv.*, 425 N.W.2d 679 (lowa Ct. App. 1988). The law limits disqualifying misconduct to substantial and willful wrongdoing or repeated carelessness or negligence that equals willful misconduct in culpability. *Lee v. Empt Appeal Bd.*, 616 N.W.2d 661 (lowa 2000).

The employer must prove two elements to establish misconduct based on absenteeism. First, the absences must be excessive. *Sallis v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 437 N.W.2d 895 (lowa 1989). The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. *Higgins v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 350 N.W.2d 187, 192 (lowa 1984). Second, the absences must be unexcused. *Cosper*, 321 N.W.2d at 10. The requirement of "unexcused" can be satisfied in two ways. An absence can be unexcused either because it was not for "reasonable grounds," *Higgins*, 350 N.W.2d at 191, or because it was not "properly reported," holding excused absences are those "with appropriate notice." *Cosper*, 321 N.W.2d at 10.

Absences due to properly reported illness or injury cannot constitute work-connected misconduct since they are not volitional, even if the employer was fully within its rights to assess points or impose discipline up to or including discharge for the absence under its attendance policy. Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(7); *Cosper*, 321 N.W.2d at 9; *Gaborit v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 734 N.W.2d 554 (Iowa Ct. App. 2007). An employer's no-fault absenteeism policy or point system is not dispositive of the issue of qualification for unemployment insurance benefits. Absences related to issues of personal responsibility such as transportation, lack of childcare, and oversleeping are not considered excused. *Higgins*, 350 N.W.2d at 191. When a claimant does not provide an excuse for an absence, the absence is deemed unexcused. *Id*. The term "absenteeism" also encompasses conduct that is more accurately referred to as "tardiness." An absence is an extended tardiness; and an incident of tardiness is a limited absence.

The employer has not established that the claimant had excessive absences that would be considered unexcused for purposes of unemployment insurance eligibility. The claimant credibly testified that her final absence on July 11, 2024, was due to illness and was properly reported. Because the claimant's final absence was properly reported and was due to illness, no final or current incident of unexcused absenteeism occurred that establishes work-connected

misconduct. Without a current or final act of misconduct, the history of other absences need not be examined.

Moreover, as the employer had not previously warned the claimant about the issue leading to the separation, it has not met the burden of proof to establish that the claimant acted deliberately or with recurrent negligence in violation of company policy, procedure, or prior warning. An employee is entitled to fair warning that the employer will no longer tolerate certain performance and conduct. Without fair warning, an employee has no reasonable way of knowing that there are changes that need to be made in order to preserve the employment. If an employer expects an employee to conform to certain expectations or face discharge, appropriate, detailed, and reasonable notice should be given. As such, benefits are allowed.

Because the claimant's separation was not disqualifying, the issues of overpayment, repayment and participation are moot.

DECISION:

The August 12, 2024 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment on July 18, 2024, for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The issues of overpayment, repayment, and participation are moot.

Patrick B. Thomas Administrative Law Judge

September 13, 2024 Decision Dated and Mailed

pbt/rvs

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Iowa Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Ave Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.

2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.

3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.

4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Iowa Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Ave Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.

2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.

3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.

4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de lowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.