

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**ROBERT J RENNIE**  
Claimant

**APPEAL NO: 11A-UI-02593-DT**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**CRST FLATBED REGIONAL INC**  
Employer

**OC: 01/02/11**  
**Claimant: Respondent (6)**

871 IAC 26.8(1) - Withdrawal of Appeal

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

An appeal was filed from a representative's decision dated February 22, 2011 (reference 01). A hearing was scheduled for March 28, 2011. At the time for the hearing, in lieu of the being held, the appellant requested the appeal be withdrawn. Therefore, there is no need for a hearing. Based on a review of the administrative file and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

**ISSUE:**

Should the appellant's request to withdraw the appeal be granted?

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

A request has been made by CRST Flatbed Regional, Inc. (employer), the appealing party, to withdraw the appeal. The reason for the request is that the claimant continues to be employed with the employer, and made no weekly claims for unemployment insurance benefits and received no benefits for the specific weeks the employer considered him off work for a non-work-related health issue.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

871 IAC 26.8(1) provides:

(1) An appeal may be withdrawn at any time prior to the issuance of a decision upon the request of the appellant and with the approval of the presiding officer to whom the case is assigned. Requests for withdrawal may be made in writing or orally, provided the oral request is tape-recorded by the presiding officer.

The request of the appealing party to withdraw the appeal should be approved.

**DECISION:**

The decision of the representative dated February 22, 2011 (reference 01) is affirmed. The request of the appealing party to withdraw the appeal is approved, and there will be no hearing. The decision of the representative shall stand and remain in full force and effect. The claimant could be entitled to receive unemployment insurance benefits in the future, provided he is then otherwise eligible.

---

Lynette A. F. Donner  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

ld/css