IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

AMANDA T BROOKS

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-01908-LT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

EXCEPTIONAL PERSONS INC

Employer

OC: 01/15/12

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) - Voluntary Leaving

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from the February 16, 2012 (reference 01) decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call on May 1, 2012. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Human Resources Director Lisa Paterno. Employer's Exhibit 1 was admitted to the record.

ISSUE:

Did claimant voluntarily leave the employment with good cause attributable to employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full-time as a direct support professional from August 6, 2010 and was separated from employment on January 10, 2012. Her position was restructured for the clients' needs and she was given 30 days plus a ten-day extension from December 2, 2011 to January 10, 2012 to find a new position within the agency. She did not apply for any open jobs until 26 days into the period, the end of which was before the start of her benefit year on January 15, 2012. She was not hired for the positions she applied for. Since she was not hired, the employer considered her to have voluntarily quit.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was laid off due to a lack of work.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.1(113)a provides:

Separations. All terminations of employment, generally classifiable as layoffs, quits, discharges, or other separations.

a. Layoffs. A layoff is a suspension from pay status (lasting or expected to last more than seven consecutive calendar days without pay) initiated by the employer without prejudice to the worker for such reasons as: lack of orders, model changeover, termination of seasonal or temporary employment, inventory-taking, introduction of laborsaving devices, plant breakdown, shortage of materials; including temporarily furloughed employees and employees placed on unpaid vacations.

Since the employer restructured or eliminated her existing job and required her to apply for other open positions, the employer severed the employment relationship rather than simply assign her other work duties. Therefore, the separation was attributable to a lack of work by the employer. Benefits are allowed.

Iowa Code § 96.5-3-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.
- a. In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:
- (1) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.
- (2) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.
- (3) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.

(4) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

871 IAC 24.24(8) provides:

(8) Refusal disqualification jurisdiction. Both the offer of work or the order to apply for work and the claimant's accompanying refusal must occur within the individual's benefit year, as defined in subrule 24.1(21), before the lowa code subsection 96.5(3) disqualification can be imposed. It is not necessary that the offer, the order, or the refusal occur in a week in which the claimant filed a weekly claim for benefits before the disqualification can be imposed.

The administrative law judge does not have jurisdiction to evaluate any failure to seek available work since the deadline fell outside of the benefit year. Therefore, the issue shall not be remanded for a fact-finding interview.

DECISION:

The February 16, 2012 (reference 01) decision is affirmed. The claimant was laid off due to a lack of work. Benefits are allowed, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Dévon M. Lewis	
Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
dml/kjw	