

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

---

**ANTHONY D CARRELL**  
Claimant

**TEMP ASSOCIATES – IOWA INC**  
Employer

**APPEAL 20A-UI-09457-DB-T**  
**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**OC: 02/02/20**  
**Claimant: Appellant (1R)**

---

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Able to and Available for Work  
Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal  
Iowa Code § 96.5(3)(A) – Refusal of Offer of Work

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

The claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the July 16, 2020 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that found that the claimant was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits based upon him refusing an offer of work on April 21, 2020. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on September 24, 2020. The claimant, Anthony D. Carrell, participated personally. The employer, Temp Associates – Iowa Inc., participated through witness Sherry Savely. The administrative law judge took official notice of the claimant's administrative records.

**ISSUE:**

Did the claimant file a timely appeal?

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: A decision that disqualified the claimant from receipt of unemployment insurance benefits was mailed to the claimant's correct address of record on July 16, 2020. The claimant received the decision in the mail on July 20, 2020. The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Section by July 26, 2020. The claimant filed the appeal on August 9, 2020.

The claimant has been attending school full-time at Maharishi University since the middle of January of 2020. He has not been able to and available for full-time work due to him attending school full-time. On April 21, 2020, the employer offered the claimant a job working the night shift at Traffix Devices. The job offer was for a full-time machine operator working Sunday night through Friday morning each week.

Claimant's administrative records establish that he has filed weekly-continued claims for benefits since his original claim for benefits effective February 2, 2020. Whether the claimant has been able to and available for work beginning February 2, 2020 and whether the claimant

was incorrectly reporting his status of being able to and available for work is referred to the Investigations and Recovery Bureau of Iowa Workforce Development for an initial investigation and determination.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant's appeal is untimely.

Iowa Code section 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of issuing the notice of the filing of the claim to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. All interested parties shall select a format as specified by the department to receive such notifications. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsections 10 and 11, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary quit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was issued, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the issuing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.*, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Bd. of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (Iowa 1976).

The record in this case shows that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the issuing date and the date this appeal was filed. The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott*, 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982). The question in

this case thus becomes whether the appellant was **deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion**. *Hendren v. Iowa Emp't Sec. Comm'n*, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); *Smith v. Iowa Emp't Sec. Comm'n*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The claimant was not deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion.

Claimant's failure to file a timely appeal within the time prescribed by the Iowa Employment Security Law was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2). There was no reason given for his late appeal filing. As such, the appeal was not timely filed pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.6(2) and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal. See *Beardslee v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979) and *Franklin v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 277 N.W.2d 877 (Iowa 1979). The representative's decision remains in effect. Benefits are denied effective April 21, 2020 due to the claimant's refusal of an offer of work.


**DECISION:**

The July 16, 2020 (reference 02) decision is affirmed. The appeal in this case was not timely and the decision of the representative remains in effect.

**REMAND:**

Whether the claimant has been able to and available for work beginning February 2, 2020 and whether the claimant was incorrectly reporting his status of being able to and available for work is referred to the Investigations and Recovery Bureau of Iowa Workforce Development for an initial investigation and determination.

*This decision denies unemployment insurance benefits funded by the State of Iowa. If this decision becomes final or if you are not eligible for PUA, you may have an overpayment of benefits. See Note to Claimant below.*

A rectangular box containing a handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Dawn Boucher".

---

Dawn Boucher  
Administrative Law Judge

---

September 28, 2020  
Decision Dated and Mailed

db/sam

**Note to Claimant**

- This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits funded by the State of Iowa under state law. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision.
- If you do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits funded by the State of Iowa under state law, you may qualify for benefits under the Federal Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (“PUA”) section of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (“Cares Act”) that discusses eligibility for claimants who are unemployed due to the Coronavirus.
- **You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program.**  
For additional information on how to apply for PUA go to:  
<https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information>.
- If you are denied regular unemployment insurance benefits funded by the State of Iowa and wish to apply for PUA, please visit:  
<https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information> and scroll down to “Submit Proof Here.” You will fill out the questionnaire regarding the reason you are not working and upload a picture or copy of your fact-finding decision. Your claim will be reviewed for PUA eligibility. If you are eligible for PUA, you will also be eligible for Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) until the program expires. Back payments PUA benefits may automatically be used to repay any overpayment of state benefits. If this does not occur on your claim, you may repay any overpayment by visiting:  
<https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/unemployment-insurance-overpayment-and-recovery>.
- If you have applied and have been approved for PUA benefits, this decision will **not** negatively affect your entitlement to PUA benefits.