IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

GREG S MILLER

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 07A-UI-09933-H2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

MCKEE AUTO CENTER INC

Employer

OC: 09-16-07 R: 02 Claimant: Respondent (4)

Iowa Code § 96.4-3 – Able and Available Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge/Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the October 18, 2007, reference 03, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on November 13, 2007. The claimant did not participate. The employer did participate through Anthony McKee, President, and Debbie McKee, Office Manager. Employer's Exhibit One was received.

ISSUE:

Was the claimant discharged for work-related misconduct?

Is the claimant able to and available for work?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the testimony and all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a driver of the car hauler, full-time, beginning August 2, 2004, through June 29, 2007, when he was discharged.

The claimant was operating a lawn mowing machine when he suffered a stroke on May 30, 2007. The claimant has a workers' compensation claim pending. The employer denies that the claimant's stroke was a work-related injury. The claimant's regular job duties include operating lawn mowing equipment, but he was primarily a car hauler. He now has work restrictions that prohibit him from driving and working around machinery. The claimant was discharged due to his work restrictions, which prohibit him from performing his job tasks.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code § 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. *Cosper v. lowa Department of Job Service*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982). The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating claimant, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits. *Infante v. IDJS*, 364 N.W.2d 262 (lowa App. 1984). What constitutes misconduct justifying termination of an employee and what misconduct warrants denial of unemployment insurance benefits are two separate decisions. *Pierce v. IDJS*, 425 N.W.2d 679 (lowa App. 1988). Misconduct serious enough to warrant discharge is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of job insurance benefits. Such misconduct must be "substantial." When based on carelessness, the carelessness must actually indicate a "wrongful intent" to be disqualifying in nature. *Newman v. lowa Department of Job Service*, 351 N.W.2d 806 (lowa App. 1984). Poor work performance is not misconduct in the absence of evidence of intent. *Miller v. Employment Appeal Board*, 423 N.W.2d 211 (lowa App. 1988).

An employer may discharge an employee for any number of reasons or no reason at all if it is not contrary to public policy, but if it fails to meet its burden of proof to establish job related misconduct as the reason for the separation, employer incurs potential liability for unemployment insurance benefits related to that separation. The claimant was discharged because he was physically unable to perform his job duties due to medical restrictions placed upon him as a result of his stroke. The claimant's medical work restrictions are not misconduct related to his job; thus, he is not disqualified from receipt of unemployment insurance benefits.

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is not able to work and available for work

Iowa Code § 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

871 IAC 24.22(1)a provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

- (1) Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.
- a. Illness, injury or pregnancy. Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.

871 IAC 24.23(35) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(35) Where the claimant is not able to work and is under the care of a physician and has not been released as being able to work.

The claimant has not established that the stroke was a work-related injury. Inasmuch as the stroke was not work-related and the treating physician has not released the claimant to return to work, the claimant has not established ability to work. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant obtains a full medical release to return to work.

DECISION:

The October 18, 2007, reference 03, decision is modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason. However, the claimant is not able to work and available for work effective May 30, 2007. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant obtains a full medical release to return to work.

Torono K. Hillory

Teresa K. Hillary Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

tkh/kjw