## IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

<b>CHERYL K THOMPSON-FULFORD</b> Claimant	APPEAL 20A-UI-14189-DG-T ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
<b>STEENA CO LLC</b> Employer	
	OC: 05/31/20 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1) - Able to Work - illness, injury or pregnancy Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(35) - Availability Disqualifications Iowa Code § 96.19(38)b – Definitions – Total, partial unemployment Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(2)j – Benefit Eligibility Conditions – Leave of Absence Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(10) – Availability Disqualifications – Leave of Absence

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated October 28, 2020, (reference 01) that held claimant not able to and available for work beginning on July 12, 2020. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on January 6, 2021. Claimant participated personally. Employer participated by Toby Johnson, Officer Manager. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

## **ISSUE:**

The issue in this matter is whether claimant is able and available for work?

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant was injured and was not able to work beginning on or about July 12, 2020.

Claimant began working for employer as a full-time crew member in October, 2018. On or about July 15, 2020 claimant injured her foot while she was not at work. Claimant requested time off work while she underwent treatment. Her leave request was granted and she was off work until August 4, 2020.

Claimant was later released back to work with restrictions on August 5, 2020 by her doctor. Claimant's restrictions included a reduction in the number of hours she could work, and claimant would need to sit as needed when her foot began to hurt.

Employer accommodated claimant's restrictions and she was allowed to return to work on August 5, 2020 with medical restrictions. Claimant was later released back to work without any

restrictions on September 19, 2020. Claimant resumed working her regular full-time hours on that date.

# **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is not able to work and available for work effective July 12, 2020.

#### lowa Code section 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

#### lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1)a provides:

**Benefits eligibility conditions.** For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

(1) Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.

a. Illness, injury or pregnancy. Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.

#### lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(35) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(35) Where the claimant is not able to work and is under the care of a medical practitioner and has not been released as being able to work.

To be able to work, "[a]n individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood." *Sierra v. Employment Appeal Board*, 508 N.W.2d 719, 721 (lowa 1993); *Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged*, 468 N.W.2d 223 (lowa 1991); lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1). "An evaluation of an individual's ability to work for the purposes of determining that individual's eligibility for unemployment benefits must necessarily take into consideration the economic and legal forces at work in the general labor market in which the individual resides." *Sierra* at 723. The court in *Gilmore v. Empl. Appeal Bd.*, 695 N.W.2d 44

(lowa Ct. App. 2004) noted that "[i]nsofar as the Employment Security Law is not designed to provide health and disability insurance, only those employees who experience illness-induced separations that can fairly be attributed to the employer are properly eligible for unemployment benefits." *White v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 487 N.W.2d 342, 345 (lowa 1992) (citing *Butts v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 328 N.W.2d 515, 517 (lowa 1983)).

Subsection d of Iowa Code § 96.5(1) provides an exception where:

The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and ... the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

The statute specifically requires that the employee has recovered from the illness or injury, and this recovery has been certified by a physician. The exception in section 96.5(1)(d) only applies when an employee is *fully* recovered and the employer has not held open the employee's position. *White*, 487 N.W.2d at 346; *Hedges v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 368 N.W.2d 862, 867 (lowa Ct. App. 1985); see also *Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged Ass'n.*, 468 N.W.2d 223, 226 (lowa 1991) (noting the full recovery standard of section 96.5(1)(d)).

The Court found no separation from employment and allowed partial benefits where claimant's work aggravated chronic lung disease prevented him from full-duty work but he reported daily for assignments as available. *FDL Foods v. Emp't Appeal Bd. and Lambers*, 460 N.W.2d 885 (lowa Ct. App. 1990).

Inasmuch as the medical condition was not work-related and the treating physician had not released the claimant to return to work without restrictions until September 19, 2020, she has not established her ability to work while still an employee of this employer during those dates.

Claimant was allowed to return to work on August 5, 2020 with work restrictions. Employer was not obligated to accommodate a non-work related medical condition. This employer did accommodate claimant's restrictions, and it held claimant's position open for her while she was not able to work her regular full-time hours. Claimant worked part-time hours because of her work restrictions which were ordered by her doctor through September 18, 2020. Employer had full-time work available for her during that entire period of time. Claimant was not able to work full-time because of her injury. She was not able and available for work during those dates.

Once claimant was released back to work without restrictions employer offered claimant her regular full-time hours. Claimant is still employed as a full-time employee by this employer as of the date of this hearing.

**Note to Claimant:** If this decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits and you disagree with this decision, you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits, but who are currently unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program. Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found

at <u>https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information</u>. If this decision becomes final, or if you are not eligible for PUA, you may have an overpayment of benefits.

## **DECISION:**

The October 28, 2020, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant is not able to work and available for work effective July 12, 2020. Benefits are denied beginning on that date.

Taure Z. Holden

Duane L. Golden Administrative Law Judge

January 28, 2021 Decision Dated and Mailed

dlg/scn