# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**BRENT D SLUTTS** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 13A-UI-00944-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**RAINBOW SOLUTIONS** 

Employer

OC: 12/09/12

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Brent Slutts (claimant) appealed a representative's January 17, 2013 decision (reference 03) that concluded he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because he voluntarily quit work with Rainbow Solutions (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for February 25, 2013. The claimant participated personally. The employer provided a telephone number but could not be reached at the time of the hearing. The administrative law judge left a message for the employer but the employer did not return the call before the record closed.

### ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

# FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired in April 2012, as a full-time driver working days. The claimant quit work on December 5, 2012, to start a new job at CRST Trucking. The claimant contacted the employer and asked for his job back. The claimant was rehired on December 12, 2012, as a full-time driver working second and third shift. His job required him to work in a building that did not have heat for 30-minute time slots. He understood when he took the job that he would be operating certain end loaders. The resigned on December 17, 2012.

# **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes that on December 17, 2012, the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

# 871 IAC 24.25(21) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(21) The claimant left because of dissatisfaction with the work environment.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). The claimant's intention to voluntarily leave work was evidenced by his words and actions. He told the employer that he was leaving and quit work. When an employee quits work because he is dissatisfied with the work environment, his leaving is without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant left work because he did not like his work environment. His leaving was without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

### **DECISION:**

The representative's January 17, 2013 decision (reference 03) is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer on December 17, 2012. Benefits are withheld until the claimant has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	

bas/css