

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**MATTHEW A STANDFORD**  
Claimant

**APPEAL NO: 08A-UI-00696-S2T**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**TEMP ASSOCIATES**  
Employer

**OC: 12/23/07 R: 04  
Claimant: Respondent (1)**

Section 96.5-1-j – Separation from Temporary Employer

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

Temp Associates (employer) appealed a representative's January 17, 2008 decision (reference 02) that concluded Matthew Stanford (claimant) was discharged and there was no evidence of willful or deliberate misconduct. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for February 5, 2008. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Jan Windsor, Office Manager.

**ISSUE:**

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The employer is a temporary employment service. The claimant performed services from August 5, 2005, through January 17, 2008. He signed a document on September 11, 2007, indicating that he was to contact the employer within three days following the completion of an assignment to request placement in a new assignment. The claimant was given a copy of the document which was part of his contract for hire. The claimant was laid off for lack of work from his assignment for the week of December 23, 2007, and did not seek reassignment from the employer for that week. He returned to work the following week.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The administrative law judge finds the claimant was not separated from the employer for any disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, but the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

For the purposes of this paragraph:

(1) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.

(2) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

As an employee of a temporary service, the employer must advise the claimant of a three-day notice requirement and give the claimant a copy of that requirement. That document cannot be a part of his contract for hire. The employer did not provide the claimant with the proper notice requirements and has, therefore, failed to satisfy the requirements of Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j. Benefits are allowed.

**DECISION:**

The representative's January 17, 2008 decision (reference 02) is affirmed. The employer did not provide the claimant with the proper notice requirements and has, therefore, failed to satisfy the requirements of Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j. Benefits are allowed.

---

Beth A. Scheetz  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/pjs