

**IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

QUAYSHAWN D MEANS-HARRIS
Claimant

PELLA CORPORATION
Employer

APPEAL 24A-UI-00262-B2-T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**OC: 12/03/23
Claimant: Respondent (1)**

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct
Iowa Code § 730.5 – Private Sector Drug-free Workplaces

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated December 29, 2023, (reference 04) that held claimant eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on January 25, 2024. Employer participated by Amber Kelly. Claimant failed to respond to the hearing notice and did not participate. Employer's Exhibits 1-7 were admitted into evidence.

ISSUE:

Was the claimant discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant last worked for employer on November 16, 2023. Employer discharged claimant effective November 16, 2023, because claimant tested positive for marijuana while at work in violation of employer's anti-drug policies.

Claimant did receive a copy of employer's drug and alcohol use policy. (Employer's Exhibit 7) Claimant smelled of marijuana after returning from a break while on duty on November 16, 2023. Claimant submitted to a drug screen at a certified laboratory in the early morning hours of November 17, 2024, because of reasonable suspicion. The result on November 17, 2023, was positive for marijuana. (Employer's Exhibit 3). The results were provided to claimant by medical review officer (MRO) by telephone on November 28, 2023. Employer did not show through documentary evidence nor through any testimony that the claimant was offered a second test of the split sample at a different laboratory at the claimant's cost.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)a provides:

Causes for disqualification.

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. *Discharge for misconduct.* If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

(1) *Definition.*

a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. *Reigelsberger v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 500 N.W.2d 64, 66 (Iowa 1993); *accord Lee v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 616 N.W.2d 661, 665 (Iowa 2000).

The employer has the burden of proving disqualifying job misconduct. *Cosper v. Iowa Department of Job Service*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982). Whether an employee violated an employer's policies is a different issue from whether the employee is disqualified for misconduct for purposes of unemployment insurance benefits. *See Lee v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 616 N.W.2d 661, 665 (Iowa 2000) ("Misconduct serious enough to warrant the discharge of an employee is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of benefits." (Quoting *Reigelsberger*, 500 N.W.2d at 66.)).

Testing under Iowa Code section 730.5(4) allows employers to test employees for drugs and/or alcohol but requires the employer "adhere to the requirements . . . concerning the conduct of such testing and the use and disposition of the results."

Iowa Code section 730.5(1)*i* allows drug testing of an employee upon "reasonable suspicion" that an employee's faculties are impaired on the job or on an unannounced random basis. It also allows testing as condition of continued employment or hiring. Iowa Code § 730.5(4).

Testing shall include confirmation of initial positive test results. For breathalyzer testing, initial and confirmatory testing may be conducted pursuant to the employer's written policy. A policy shall include requirements governing breath testing devices, alcohol screening devices, and qualifications for administering personnel consistent with DOT rules. If an oral fluid sample is taken and results are received in the presence of the employee, this is considered a sufficient sample for split sample testing. Iowa Code § 730.5(7)*f*.

Iowa Code section 730.5(7)(i)(1) mandates that if a medical review officer (MRO) reports a positive test result to the employer (**as opposed to the employee**), upon a confirmed positive drug or alcohol test by a certified laboratory, notify the employee of the test results by certified mail return receipt requested, and the right to obtain a confirmatory or split-sample test before taking disciplinary action against an employee.


Iowa Code section 730.5(9) requires that a written drug screen policy be provided to every employee subject to testing.

The Iowa Supreme Court has held that an employer may not “benefit from an unauthorized drug test by relying on it as a basis to disqualify an employee from unemployment compensation benefits.” *Eaton v. Iowa Emp’t Appeal Bd.*, 602 N.W.2d 553, 557, 558 (Iowa 1999). **[but see** The Court in *Sims v. HCI Holding Corp.*, 759 N.W.2d 333 (Iowa 2009), held that “[u]pon receipt of the positive test result evidencing Sims’s violation of the written drug policy, NCI was authorized to terminate Sims’s employment. Iowa Code § 730.5(10)(a)(3). He was given verbal but not written notice of the split-sample testing opportunity. As the confirmatory retest eventually requested by Sims confirmed the initial positive result, Sims’s employment was not adversely affected by an erroneous test result.” **regarding (lack of) substantial compliance because of verbal notification** of split-sample test, verbal declination and written notice several months later.]

[allow]In an at-will employment environment an employer may discharge an employee for any number of reasons or no reason at all if it is not contrary to public policy, but if it fails to meet its burden of proof to establish job related misconduct as the reason for the separation, it incurs potential liability for unemployment insurance benefits related to that separation. A violation is not necessarily disqualifying misconduct even if the employer was fully within its rights to impose discipline up to or including discharge for the incident under its policy. While the employer certainly may have been within its rights to test and fire the claimant, it failed to provide him an opportunity for a split sample test according to the strict and explicit statutory requirements. Thus, the employer cannot use the results of the drug screen as a basis for disqualification from benefits. Benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The December 29, 2023, (reference 04) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided he is otherwise eligible.



Blair Bennett | Administrative Law Judge II
Iowa Department of Inspections & Appeals

January 26, 2024
Decision Dated and Mailed

bab/rvs

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

**Iowa Employment Appeal Board
6200 Park Avenue Suite 100
Des Moines, Iowa 50321
Fax: (515)281-7191
Online: eab.iowa.gov**

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday. *There is no filing fee to file an appeal with the Employment Appeal Board.*

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If you do not file an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at www.iowacourts.gov/efile. *There may be a filing fee to file the petition in District Court.*

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Iowa Employment Appeal Board
6200 Park Avenue Suite 100
Des Moines, Iowa 50321
Fax: (515)281-7191
En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal. *No hay tarifa de presentación para presentar una apelación ante la Junta de Apelación de Empleo.*

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si no presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelación de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en una acción final de la agencia y tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en www.iowacourts.gov/efile. *Puede haber una tarifa de presentación para presentar la petición en el Tribunal de Distrito.*

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.