# BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

RENEE K CAWTHORN	: : : <b>HEARING NUMBER:</b> 08B-UI-10441
Claimant,	:
and	: EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD : DECISION
SIMPLY FOR GIGGLES LLC	: DECIGION
Employer	

Employer.

### NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a request for a REHEARING is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 20 days of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within 30 days of the date of the denial.

**SECTION:** 871 IAC 26.8(5)

## DECISION

## UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED

The claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member dissenting, reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is AFFIRMED.

Elizabeth L. Seis	ær		
лопіque F. Kue	ster	_	

# DISSENTING OPINION OF JOHN A. PENO:

I respectfully dissent from the majority decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the decision of the administrative law judge. The final act was the claimant's absence due to illness, which the claimant reported to the employer via text messaging. The employer did not timely receive the messages and terminated her for being a no call/no show. Based on the claimant's statements in the Fact-finding Interview, I would conclude that her absence should have been excused according to the precepts of Cosper v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982).

	John A. Peno
AMG/ss	
The claimant has requested this matter be remanded for finds the applicant did not follow the instructions on the not been established to remand this matter. The remandation of the control of the contr	the notice of hearing. Therefore, good cause has
	John A. Peno
	Elizabeth L. Seiser
	Monique F. Kuester

AMG/ss