# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

**MARGARET A PARROTT** 

Claimant

**APPEAL 15A-UI-06309-DGT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES OF IOWA IN FINANCE

Employer

OC: 05/03/15

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1) – Able to Work - illness, injury or pregnancy

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(35) - Availability Disqualifications

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated May 27, 2015, (reference 01) that held claimant not able to and available for work. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on June 29, 2015. Claimant participated personally. Employer participated by Lori Scherling, Human Resources Director. Employer's Exhibits 1 through 4, and Claimant's Exhibit A were admitted into evidence.

#### ISSUE:

The issue in this matter is whether claimant is able and available for work.

## **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant received an injury to her shoulder while working for her previous employer. Claimant underwent corrective surgery on her right shoulder while employed by this employer, and was placed on a medical leave of absence on or about November 30, 2014. Claimant's medical leave was scheduled to expire on or about February 6, 2015 and employer notified claimant of that fact in a letter dated January 20, 2015. In that letter claimant was told to contact her manager to discuss whether she had been released back to work without restrictions, and/or her current work status.

Claimant did call and speak to her manager after receiving the January 20, 2015 correspondence. She explained that she wanted to return to work, but that she was still under doctor's restrictions. Claimant's employment was later terminated on or about February 11, 2015 after claimant was unable to return to work at that time. Claimant was released to return to work without restrictions on or about April 16, 2015. She has applied to return to work with this employer since she was separated from employment.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is able to work and available for work effective May 3, 2015.

Iowa Code § 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1)a provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

- (1) Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.
- a. Illness, injury or pregnancy. Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(35) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(35) Where the claimant is not able to work and is under the care of a physician and has not been released as being able to work.

The Court found no separation from employment and allowed partial benefits where claimant's work aggravated chronic lung disease prevented him from full-duty work but he reported daily for assignments as available. *FDL Foods v. Emp't Appeal Bd. and Lambers*, 460 N.W.2d 885 (lowa Ct. App. 1990).

The Supreme Court ruled that a claimant with a non-work related injury was not able to and available for work and that section 96.5(1)d was not applicable when she returned to work with

a restricted release, could not perform her prior job and could not establish any other type of work of which she was capable. *Geiken v. Luthern Home for the Aged*, 468 N.W.2d 223 (Iowa 1991).

Inasmuch as the medical condition was not work-related but employer permanently filled the job before she was released to return to work; and when the treating physician had released her to return to work without restriction no suitable, comparable work was available, claimant has established her ability to and availability for work.

The lowa Supreme Court has ruled that if a party has the power to produce more explicit and direct evidence than it chooses to present, the administrative law judge may infer that evidence not presented would reveal deficiencies in the party's case. *Crosser v. lowa Dep't of Pub. Safety*, 240 N.W.2d 682 (lowa 1976). Mindful of the ruling in *Crosser*, *id.*, and noting that the claimant presented direct, first-hand testimony while the employer relied upon second-hand witness reports, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant's recollection of the events is more credible than that of the employer and it has not met its burden of proof.

Since the employment ended on February 11, 2015, claimant is no longer obligated to return to employer upon her medical release to offer her services. At that point, her ability to work is not measured by the job she held most recently, but by standards of her education, training, and work history. She is considered able to work even if she cannot yet return to a job as most recently performed for the employer. Thus the claimant is considered as able to work as of May 3, 2015.

Claimant is on notice that she must conduct at least two work searches per week and file weekly claims in order to retain eligibility for benefits.

## **DECISION:**

The representative's decision dated May 27, 2015, (reference 01) is reversed. The claimant is able to work and available for work effective May 3, 2015. Benefits are allowed, provided she is otherwise eligible.

| Duane L. Golden<br>Administrative Law Judge |  |
|---|--|
| Decision Dated and Mailed                   |  |
| dlg/pjs                                     |  |