IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

STACY L COPELL

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-25659-ED-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

ALORICA INC

Employer

OC: 10/10/21

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the November 12, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon her voluntarily quitting work without good cause attributable to the employer. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on January 19, 2022. The claimant, Stacy Copell, participated personally. The employer, Alorica Inc, participated through Marqueesha Houston. No exhibits were offered or admitted.

ISSUES:

Did claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer? Was the claimant discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full time as a prior authorization representative. She began working for this employer on November 9, 2020 and her last day physically worked on the job was September 20, 2021. Her immediate supervisor was Ms. Houston.

During the course of claimant's employment, this employer had a policy that if you were not able to get to work you were to call in at least 30 minute prior to the shift start time.

On September 23, 2021, September 24, 2021, September 27, 2021 and September 28, 2021, claimant did not report for work. Claimant did not call in and let her employer know that she wasn't going to be at work. Claimant had been having technical issues with her computer and was waiting for IT to provide her with new equipment. On September 22, 2021, claimant was scheduled to work but did not report for work. Ms. Houston called claimant on September 22, and claimant reported that she had begun bartending. Claimant did not report to work or make contact with the employer again after the September 22 conversation with Ms. Houston.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes as follows:

Iowa Code §96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

A voluntary quitting means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer and requires an intention to terminate the employment. *Wills v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 447 N.W. 2d 137, 138 (Iowa 1989). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980); *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992).

In this case, claimant was absent from work on the following dates: September 20, September 21, September 22, September 23 and September 24, 2021. Claimant knew that she was supposed to report any absences prior to her scheduled shift start time. Claimant failed to report these absences in violation of the employer's policy.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(4) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant was absent for three days without giving notice to employer in violation of company rule.

Claimant started a job bartending and stopped reporting to her employer. As such, this case must be analyzed as a voluntary quit case and not a discharge case. Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973).

In this case, claimant was scheduled to work September 20, September 21, September 22, September 23 and September 24, 2021. Claimant did not report to work on any of the listed dates. Except for September 22, 2021, claimant did not communicate with her employer that she was not working.

Claimant's leaving the employment was not for a good-cause reason attributable to the employer according to Iowa law. Benefits must be denied.

DECISION:

The November 12, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Claimant voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld in regards to this employer until such time as claimant is deemed eligible.

• . .

Emily Drenkow Com

Emily Drenkow Carr Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax (515)478-3528

February 10, 2022

Decision Dated and Mailed

ed/scn