# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**JEREMY RECK** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-04098-BT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**HY-VEE INC** 

Employer

OC: 03/16/08 R: 03 Claimant: Respondent (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)(a) - Discharge for Misconduct Iowa Code § 96.3-7 - Overpayment

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Hy-Vee, Inc. (employer) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated April 18, 2008, reference 01, which held that Jeremy Reck (claimant) was eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on May 12, 2008. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer participated through Andy Alexander, Assistant Manager; Doug Heisel, Assistant Night Crew Manager; Chris Stoos, Manager of General Merchandise; and Tim Speir, employer representative. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

## ISSUE:

The issue is whether the employer discharged the claimant for work-related misconduct.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was employed as a part-time night stocker from October 3, 2002 through March 4, 2008. He was discharged for insubordination and inappropriate conduct. The claimant received one previous written warning for using profanity on March 26, 2005. Shortly after the claimant began his shift at 11:00 p.m. on March 2, 2008. he was working in the back room unloading pallets. A pallet on which he was working came apart and fell onto the floor. The claimant was angry and started throwing around some boxes in frustration. He then turned around and told Assistant Manager Andy Alexander to "clean up your fucking mess!" Mr. Alexander told the claimant his conduct was unacceptable and that he needed to leave and speak to the store director before returning to work. Mr. Alexander was going to escort the claimant to the front of the store so there was no problem, but the claimant turned around while clenching his fists and walked threateningly towards Mr. Alexander. He stopped about a foot from Mr. Alexander, then turned away again before briefly turning back towards Mr. Alexander with both his middle fingers raised. The claimant then said, "Fuck you!" Mr. Alexander did not walk the claimant out at that point but sent an email to the store manager

advising him of the incident. When the claimant returned to work, Manager Chris Stoos questioned him as to what happened. The claimant admitted he had done what Mr. Alexander reported and he was discharged at that time.

The claimant filed a claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective March 16, 2008 and has received benefits after the separation from employment.

#### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the employer discharged the claimant for work-connected misconduct. A claimant is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits if an employer has discharged the claimant for reasons constituting work-connected misconduct. Iowa Code § 96.5-2-a.

Iowa Code § 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

The employer has the burden to prove the claimant was discharged for work-connected misconduct as defined by the unemployment insurance law. <u>Cosper v. lowa Department of Job Service</u>, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982). The claimant was discharged for insubordination that occurred on March 2, 2008. He admitted he told an assistant manager to "clean up your fucking mess" and later said "fuck you" to that same manager while raising both middle fingers towards him. The claimant's insubordination shows a willful or wanton disregard of the standard of behavior the employer has the right to expect from an employee, as well as an intentional and

substantial disregard of the employer's interests and of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Work-connected misconduct as defined by the unemployment insurance law has been established in this case and benefits are denied.

Iowa Code § 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of lowa law.

### **DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance decision dated April 18, 2008, reference 01, is reversed. The claimant is not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits, because he was discharged from work for misconduct. Benefits are withheld until he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$214.00.

Susan D. Ackerman
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

sda/kjw