IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

KAITLYN KAUFMAN

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-00536-ED-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

SEDONA STAFFING INC

Employer

OC: 05/31/20

Claimant: Appellant (1)

lowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting

lowa Code § 96.5(1)j – Voluntary Quitting – Temporary Employment

lowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the November 17, 2020, (reference 06) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon her voluntary quit from employment by failing to notify the temporary employment firm within three working days of the completion of her last work assignment. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on February 9, 2021. The claimant, Kaitlyn Kaufman, participated personally. Eric Kaufman participated on behalf of the claimant. The employer, Sedona Staffing Inc., participated through Colleen McGuinty and Nikki Siefer. The Claimant's Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 were received. The Employer's Exhibits A and B were received. Claimant changed her mailing address during the hearing.

ISSUES:

Did claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer? Did the claimant voluntarily quit by not reporting for an additional work assignment within three business days of the end of the last assignment?

Was the claimant discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was an employee of a temporary employment firm. Claimant began her employment on May 28, 2019. On April 29, 2019, the claimant completed paperwork with the employer, including signing the employer's policy requiring notification within three working days of the ending of an assignment and requesting an additional assignment.

Claimant's most recent job assignment was as a laborer at Change Healthcare as a gatekeeper. This job assignment began on May 28, 2019 and ended on August 20, 2019 when the claimant moved back to lowa City to attend school full time. After the assignment ended, the claimant failed to report to the employer within three working days and request further assignment as required by written policy. Claimant did contact the employer again via email on June 16, 2020.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the separation was without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

lowa Code § 96.5(1)(j) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- j. (1) The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.
- (2) To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.
- (3) For the purposes of this paragraph:
- (a) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their workforce during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.
- (b) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(19) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall

be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of lowa Code section 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of lowa Code section 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

The purpose of the statute is to provide notice to the temporary agency employer that the claimant is available for work at the conclusion of each temporary assignment so they may be reassigned and continue working. The plain language of the statute allows benefits for a claimant "who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an assignment and who seeks reassignment."

In this case, the claimant did not contact the employer to request additional work after the ending of the gatekeeper assignment.

As such, the claimant failed to comply with lowa Code section 96.5(1)j and she voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer. The separation is disqualifying. Benefits are denied.

Note to Claimant: This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits due to disqualifying separations, but who are currently unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program. Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information

DECISION:

The November 17, 2020, (reference 06) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant's separation was without good cause attributable to the employer. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld in regards to this employer until such time as claimant is deemed eligible.

Emily Drenkow Carr

Emily Drenkow Can

Administrative Law Judge

February 19, 2021

Decision Dated and Mailed

ed/scn