IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT **Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section** 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 **DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE**

68-0157 (7-97) - 3091078 - EI

PEDRO R RAYMUNDO RAMOS 1021 N ONTARIO ST STORM LAKE IA 50588

REMBRANDT ENTERPRISES INC 1419 – 480TH ST **REMBRANDT IA 50576**

Appeal Number: 06A-UI-07628-S2T

OC: 07/09/06 R: 01 Claimant: Appellant (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor-Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- The grounds upon which such appeal is based. 4.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)
(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Pedro Raymundo Ramos (claimant) appealed a representative's July 27, 2006 decision (reference 01) that concluded he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because he had voluntarily quit employment with Rembrandt Enterprises (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on August 17, 2006. The claimant participated personally through Ike Rocha, Interpreter. The employer participated by James Perkins, Human Resources Manager, and Maria Ramos, Human Resources Assistant. The claimant offered one exhibit which was marked for identification as Exhibit One. Exhibit One was received into evidence

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on March 10, 2003, and was earning \$8.00 per hour. At the end of his employment he was earning \$10.20 per hour as a full-time house supervisor. The claimant had a personality conflict with his supervisor and wanted a \$1.30 per hour raise. On June 26, 2006, the supervisor and claimant had the claimant's yearly review. They discussed the areas in which the claimant needed to improve. The supervisor gave the claimant a \$0.20 per hour raise. The claimant gave the employer two weeks' notice of resignation. He worked until July 7, 2006. Continued work was available had the claimant not resigned.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. For the following reasons the administrative law judge concludes he did.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(13), (22) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (13) The claimant left because of dissatisfaction with the wages but knew the rate of pay when hired.
- (22) The claimant left because of a personality conflict with the supervisor.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (lowa 1980). The claimant's intention to voluntarily leave work was evidenced by his words and actions. He told the employer that he was leaving and quit work. When an employee quits work because of a personality conflict with a supervisor or dissatisfaction with his agreed-upon wage, his leaving is without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant left work because of a personality conflict with his supervisor and dissatisfaction with his raise. His leaving was without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The representative's July 27, 2006 decision (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until he has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

bas/pjs