## IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS

ADM INISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION, UI APPEALS BUREAU

NESTOR AVILA<br>Claimant

# APPEAL 22A-UI-10487-CS-T <br> ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION 

## ADVANCE SERVICES INC.
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Claimant: Respondent (2)

```
lowa Code §96.5(2)a-Discharge/Misconduct
lowa Code §96.5(1)-Voluntary Quit
lowa Code § 96.3(7) - Recovery of Benefit Overpayment
lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 - Employer/Representative Participation Fact-finding Interview lowa Code § 96.5(1)j - Voluntary Quitting - Temporary Employment
```


## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On April 25, 2022, the employer/appellant filed an appeal from the April 15, 2022, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits based on claimant being dismissed on March 25, 2022, but there was no willful or deliberate misconduct. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on June 10, 2022. Claimant did not call in to participate. Employer participated through Risk Management Director, Steve Volle. Administrative notice was taken of claimant's unemployment insurance benefits records. Exhibits 1 and 2 were admitted into the record.

## ISSUES:

I. Was the separation a layoff, discharge for misconduct, or voluntary quit without good cause?
II. Should claimant repay benefits?
III. Should the employer be charged due to employer participation in fact finding?
IV. Is the claimant overpaid benefits?
V. Did the claimant make a timely request for another job assignment?

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant began working for employer on March 31, 2020. The employer is an employment agency.

Claimant had multiple job assignments with third parties. Claimant's last work assignment began on April 15, 2021, with Wurth as a full-time warehouse laborer. Claimant's work assignment was completed on March 28, 2022. On March 28, 2022, employer informed claimant his work assignment was complete. During the conversation employer asked claimant if he wanted another job assignment. Claimant informed employer that he was experiencing non-work related health issues and he did not want another job assignment at the time. On April 29, 2022, May 30, 2022, and June 29, 2022, the employer sent out a text message to claimant informing him they had job openings available. Claimant has not contacted the employer to request another job assignment.

On April 13, 2021, claimant signed an acknowledgement of employer's assignment policy that informed him that he needed to contact the employer within three working days to request a further assignment. (Exhibit 2).

Claimant filed for benefits with an effective date of March 27, 2022. Claimant's weekly benefit amount is $\$ 531.00$. Claimant began receiving benefits the week ending April 2, 2022, and received them through May 14, 2022. Claimant received a total of $\$ 1,593.00$ in state unemployment benefits.

The employer submitted a detailed responds about claimant's separation through the SIDES database. Subsequently a fact-finding interview was scheduled for April 11, 2022. Employer attempted to answer the phone call and accidently rejected the phone call. The employer attempted to immediately call back the number and it went to a phone line that required him to leave a message. The employer did not receive a call back from lowa Workforce Development.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer.
lowa Code § 96.5(1)j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
j. (1) The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not
contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.
(2) To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.
(3) For the purposes of this lettered paragraph:
(a) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.
(b) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(19) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:
(19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of lowa Code § 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of lowa Code § 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

The purpose of the statute is to provide notice to the temporary agency emplo yer that the claimant is available for work at the conclusion of each temporary assignment so they may be reassigned and continue working. The plain language of the statute allows benefits for a claimant "who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an assignment and who seeks reassignment." (Emphasis supplied.)

In this case, the employer had notice of the claimant's availability because it notified him of the end of the assignment but he did not request another assignment. Claimant declined future work because of non-work related health problems. Benefits are denied.

Because claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to him which he was not entitled. The next issue that must be determined is whether claimant has been overpaid benefits and if the claimant is required to repay the benefits.
lowa Code section 96.3(7)a-b, as amended in 2008, provides, in pertinent part: :

## 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.

a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
b. (1) (a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. The employer shall not be relieved of charges if benefits are paid because the employer or an agent of the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits. This prohibition against relief of charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers.
(b) However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6 , subsection 2 , and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment.
lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 provides:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.
(1) "Participate," as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2,
means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to the employer. The most effective means to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the quit. The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871-subrule 24.32(7). On the other hand, written or oral statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and information submitted after the fact-finding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.
(2) "A continuous pattern of nonparticipation in the initial determination to award benefits," pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, as the term is used for an entity representing employers, means on 25 or more occasions in a calendar quarter beginning with the first calendar quarter of 2009, the entity files appeals after failing to participate. Appeals filed but withdrawn before the day of the contested case hearing will not be considered in determining if a continuous pattern of nonparticipation exists. The division administrator shall notify the employer's representative in writing after each such appeal.
(3) If the division administrator finds that an entity representing employers as defined in lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, has engaged in a continuous pattern of nonparticipation, the division administrator shall suspend said representative for a period of up to six months on the first occasion, up to one year on the second occasion and up to ten years on the third or subsequent occasion. Suspension by the division administrator constitutes final agency action and may be appealed pursuant to lowa Code section 17A.19.
(4) "Fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual," as the term is used for claimants in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means providing knowingly false statements or knowingly false denials of material facts for the purpose of obtaining unemployment insurance benefits. Statements or denials may be either oral or written by the claimant. Inadvertent misstatements or mistakes made in good faith are not considered fraud or willful misrepresentation.

This rule is intended to implement lowa Code section 96.3(7)"b" as amended by 2008 lowa Acts, Senate File 2160.

The administrative law judge finds claimant has been overpaid regular state unemployment benefits in the amount of $\$ 1,593.00$ for three weeks beginning March 27, 2022, through week ending May 16, 2022.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which she was not entitled. The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for those benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits.

The employer responded in the SIDES database a detailed explanation of the claimant's separation. The employer is deemed to have participated in fact-finding. As a result, the claimant is required to repay $\$ 1,593.00$ in state unemployment benefits.

## DECISION:

The April 15, 2022, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is REVERSED. The claimant's separation was not attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Claimant has been overpaid unemployed insurance benefits in the amount of $\$ 1,593.00$, that he is required to repay. The employer's account shall not be charged.


Carly Smith
Administrative Law Judge
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

## September 1, 2022

Decision Dated and Mailed
cs/mh

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree w ith the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a w ritten appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

> Employment Appeal Board
> $4^{\text {th }}$ Floor - Lucas Building
> Des Moines, low a 50319
> Fax: (515)281-7191
> Online: eab.iow a.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

## AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.
2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at low a Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iow a.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https:///w ww.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a law yer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a law yer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for w ith public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your w eekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

## SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, faxo en línea a:
```
Employment Appeal Board
4th Floor - Lucas Building
    Des Moines, Iow a }5031
    Fax: (515)281-7191
    En línea: eab.iowa.gov
```

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

## UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

1) E nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.
2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de lowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iow a.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https:///w ww.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

## SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.

