IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

APPEAL NO. 13A-UI-11919-H2T **ALISHA L WHITE** Claimant ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION **BROADLAWNS MEDICAL CENTER** Employer

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge/Misconduct 871 IAC 24.32(7) – Excessive Unexcused Absenteeism

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the October 16, 2013, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued a hearing was held on November 14, 2013. Claimant participated. Employer did participate through (representative) Julie Kilgore Vice President of Human resources and Laura Coyle, Director of Nursing and Shelly Farrell, Human Resources Coordinator,

ISSUE:

Was the claimant discharged due to job connected misconduct?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full-time as a health care technician beginning on October 24, 2011 through September 26, 2013 when she was discharged. The claimant was absent without excuse on September 6, 2013. While the claimant had been granted FMLA the employer required that she report when she was using FMLA. On September 6 the claimant called in absent and gave no indication as to why she absent. She did not report to the charge nurse that she was going to use FMLA on that day. The claimant had numerous verbal and written warnings about her attendance and in the past had demonstrated an ability to properly report her absence under the employer's policy by reporting when she was using FMLA. The claimant did not properly report her absence on September 6.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

OC: 09/22/13 Claimant: Appellant (1) Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. The term "absenteeism" also encompasses conduct that is more accurately referred to as "tardiness." An absence is an extended tardiness, and an incident of tardiness is a limited absence. Absences related to issues of personal responsibility such as transportation, lack of childcare, and oversleeping are not considered excused. *Higgins v. lowa Department of Job Service*, 350 N.W.2d 187 (lowa 1984). Absences due to **properly reported illness** or injury cannot constitute job misconduct since they are not volitional. *Cosper v. lowa Department of Job Service*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982). The claimant's last absence on September 6 was not properly reported and thus can be counted against her in the determination as to whether it was unexcused.

An employer is entitled to expect its employees to report to work as scheduled or to be notified as to when and why the employee is unable to report to work. The employer has established that the claimant was warned that further unexcused absences could result in termination of employment and the final absence was not excused. The final absence, in combination with the claimant's history of unexcused absenteeism, is considered excessive. Benefits are withheld.

DECISION:

The October 16, 2013, (reference 01) decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to excessive, unexcused absenteeism. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Teresa K. Hillary Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

tkh/pjs