# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

**COURTNEY N FIELDS** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO: 20A-UI-06342-JTT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

**DECISION** 

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 04/19/20

Claimant: Appellant (6)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Able & Available

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.2(1)(e) - Failure to Report as Directed

Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) – Default Decision

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.14(7) - Dismissal of Appeal on Default

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Courtney Fields filed a timely appeal from the June 11, 2020, reference 04, decision that denied benefits effective April 19, 2020, based on the deputy's conclusion that Ms. Fields failed to report as directed by an Agency representative and, therefore, did not meet the availability requirements. A notice of hearing was mailed to the Ms. Fields' last-known address of record for a telephone hearing to be held at 4:00 p.m. on July 22, 2020. A review of the Appeals Bureau's conference call system indicates that Ms. Fields failed to respond to the hearing notice instructions to register a telephone number at which she could be reached for the hearing. Based upon the claimant/appellant's failure to participate in the hearing and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law and decision.

#### ISSUE:

Should the appeal be dismissed based upon the claimant/appellant not participating in the hearing?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Claimant Courtney Fields is the appellant in this matter. Ms. Fields was properly notified of the appeal hearing set for 4:00 p.m. on July 22, 2020 through the hearing notice that was mailed to her last-known address of record on July 1, 2020. Ms. Fields did not participate in the hearing or request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice. Ms. Fields did not comply with the hearing notice instructions to register a telephone number at which she could be reached for the hearing. Ms. Fields did not provide a telephone number in her appeal. Ms. Fields has not provided the Appeals Bureau with a telephone number since filing the appeal.

The June 11, 2020, reference 04, decision denied benefits effective April 19, 2020, based on the deputy's conclusion that Ms. Fields failed to report as directed by an Agency representative and, therefore, did not meet the availability requirements.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The Iowa Administrative Procedures Act at Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) provides in pertinent part:

If a party fails to appear or participate in a contested case proceeding after proper service of notice, the presiding officer may, if no adjournment is granted, enter a default decision or proceed with the hearing and make a decision in the absence of the party. ... If a decision is rendered against a party who failed to appear for the hearing and the presiding officer is timely requested by that party to vacate the decision for good cause, the time for initiating a further appeal is stayed pending a determination by the presiding officer to grant or deny the request. If adequate reasons are provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall vacate the decision and, after proper service of notice, conduct another evidentiary hearing. If adequate reasons are not provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall deny the motion to vacate.

Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-26.14(7) provides:

- (7) If a party has not responded to a notice of telephone hearing by providing the appeals bureau with the names and telephone numbers of the persons who are participating in the hearing by the scheduled starting time of the hearing or is not available at the telephone number provided, the presiding officer may proceed with the hearing. If the appealing party fails to provide a telephone number or is unavailable for the hearing, the presiding officer may decide the appealing party is in default and dismiss the appeal as provide in lowa Code section 17A.12(3). The record may be reopened if the absent party makes a request to reopen the hearing in writing under subrule 26.8(3) and shows good cause for reopening the hearing.
- a. If an absent party responds to the hearing notice while the hearing is in progress, the presiding officer shall pause to admit the party, summarize the hearing to that point, administer the oath, and resume the hearing.
- b. If a party responds to the notice of hearing after the record has been closed and any party which has participated is no longer on the telephone line, the presiding officer shall not take the evidence of the late party.
- c. Failure to read or follow the instructions on the notice of hearing shall not constitute good cause for reopening the record.

The claimant/appellant appealed the representative's decision but failed to participate in the hearing. The claimant/appellant has therefore defaulted on her appeal pursuant to lowa Code §17A.12(3) and lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.14(7), and the representative's decision remains in force and effect.

## **DECISION:**

The claimant defaulted on her appeal. The appeal is dismissed. The June 11, 2020, reference 04, decision that denied benefits effective April 19, 2020, based on the deputy's conclusion that Ms. Fields failed to report as directed by an Agency representative and, therefore, did not meet the availability requirements, remains in effect.

James E. Timberland

James & Timberland

<u>July 30, 2020</u> Decision Dated and Mailed

Administrative Law Judge

jet/mh