

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**HEDAYAT KHALDAR-SAGHIR**  
Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-16189-AT**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**IOWA WORKFORCE  
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

**OC: 10/02/11  
Claimant: Appellant (1)**

Section 96.3-5-b – Training Extension Benefits  
871 IAC 24.40 – Training Extension Benefits

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

Hedayat Khaldar-Saghir filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated December 13, 2011, reference 02, that denied training extension benefits to him effective October 2, 2011. After due notice was issued, a telephone hearing was held January 19, 2012 with Mr. Khaldar-Saghir participating.

**ISSUE:**

Is the claimant eligible for training extension benefits effective October 2, 2011?

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Hedayat Khaldar-Saghir was approved for training extension benefits during a benefit year which had begun in October of 2010. He began a new benefit year October 2, 2011. Administrative law judge decision 11A-UI-15106-DWT issued on December 21, 2011 affirmed a fact-finding decision denying regular unemployment insurance benefits to him upon a finding that he did not meet the eligibility requirements.

Mr. Khaldar-Saghir dropped out of school for the fall semester of 2011 because of financial reasons.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The question is whether the claimant is eligible to receive training extension benefits effective October 2, 2011. He is not.

Iowa Code section 96.3-5-b establishes the training extension benefits program. Eligibility requirements are found in the statute and in 871 IAC 24.40. For an individual to receive training extension benefits, the individual must first exhaust all state unemployment insurance benefits and federal emergency unemployment insurance benefits. An individual must also be enrolled and actively pursuing his or her training program.

Mr. Khaldar-Saghir has not exhausted his state unemployment insurance benefits for the present benefit year, and, in fact, is not eligible at this time. Only the Employment Appeal Board could reverse the earlier administrative law judge's decision denying benefits to him. Furthermore, since Mr. Khaldar-Saghir is not currently enrolled in his training program, training extension benefits would be denied in any event.

**DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance decision dated December 13, 2011, reference 02, is affirmed. The claimant is ineligible for training extension benefits at this time.

---

Dan Anderson  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

css/css