### IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

	68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El
CHEREE L PFEIFFER Claimant	APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-15855-H2T
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
HY-VEE INC Employer	
	OC: 09-28-08

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge/Misconduct 871 IAC 24.32(7) – Excessive Unexcused Absenteeism

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the October 16, 2009, reference 03, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on November 24, 2009. The claimant did participate. The employer did participate through Jim Duggan, Kitchen Manager and was represented by Tim Spier of Unemployment Insurance Services. Employer's Exhibit One was received.

# **ISSUE:**

Was the claimant discharged for work-related misconduct?

# FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the testimony and all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a dish room attendant part time beginning April 19, 2007 through September 24, 2009 when she was discharged. The claimant missed work on September 23 because she was incarcerated. She had her boyfriend call in for her. The employer's policy requires that the employee themselves call in to report an absence. The claimant had received other warnings about her attendance on October 3, 2008, March 7, 2008 and January 2008.

The claimant was discharged from employment due to a final incident of absenteeism that occurred on September 23, 2009. The claimant was last warned on October 3, 2008, that she faced termination from employment upon another incident of unexcused absenteeism. The claimant had missed work on other occasions and for taking too many breaks.

# **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code § 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. The term "absenteeism" also encompasses conduct that is more accurately referred to as "tardiness." An absence is an extended tardiness, and an incident of tardiness is a limited absence. Absences related to issues of personal responsibility such as transportation, lack of childcare, and oversleeping are not considered excused. *Higgins v. Iowa Department of Job Service*, 350 N.W.2d 187 (Iowa 1984).

An employer is entitled to expect its employees to report to work as scheduled or to be notified when and why the employee is unable to report to work. The employer has established that the claimant was warned that further unexcused absences could result in termination of employment and the final absence was not excused. An absence due to incarceration is not excused even if reported in a timely manner. The final absence, in combination with the claimant's history of unexcused absenteeism, is considered excessive. Benefits are withheld.

### DECISION:

The October 16, 2009, reference 03, decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to excessive, unexcused absenteeism. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Teresa K. Hillary Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

tkh/pjs