

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

REBECCA S NODURFT
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 13A-UI-05139-JTT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

REBITRZERS' BAR & GRILL LLC
Employer

OC: 04/07/13
Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code Section 96.5(1) - Layoff
871 IAC 26.8(5) - Decision on the Record

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer appealed from an unemployment insurance decision dated April 26, 2013, reference 01, that allowed benefits based on an agency conclusion that Ms. Nodurft was laid off effective December 2, 2012. That same decision held that the employer's account could be charged. A telephone hearing was scheduled for June 5, 2013. The employer/appellant provided a telephone number for the hearing, but was not available at that number at the scheduled time of the hearing. Claimant Rebecca Nodurft was available for the hearing. Based on the appellant's failure to participate in the hearing, the administrative file, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law and decision.

ISSUE:

Decision on the record.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The parties were properly notified of the scheduled hearing on this appeal. The appellant, Rebitrzers's Bar & Grill, L.L.C, responded to the hearing notice instructions and provided a telephone numbers at which two employer representatives could be reached for the hearing: Amy Behnke at 563-449-6376 and Amy Tobe at 563-343-2350. The administrative law judge made two attempts to reach Ms. Behnke and one attempt to reach Ms. Tobe. Ms. Behnke had been listed first when the employer provided numbers for the hearing. Neither Ms. Behnke nor Ms. Tobe answered at the numbers provided. The administrative law judge left two message for Ms. Behnke and one message for Ms. Tobe. The employer had not requested a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice.

The administrative law judge has conducted a careful review of the administrative file to determine whether the unemployment insurance decision should be affirmed.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

871 IAC 26.8(3), (4) and (5) provide:

Withdrawals and postponements.

(3) If, due to emergency or other good cause, a party, having received due notice, is unable to attend a hearing or request postponement within the prescribed time, the presiding officer may, if no decision has been issued, reopen the record and, with notice to all parties, schedule another hearing. If a decision has been issued, the decision may be vacated upon the presiding officer's own motion or at the request of a party within 15 days after the mailing date of the decision and in the absence of an appeal to the employment appeal board of the department of inspections and appeals. If a decision is vacated, notice shall be given to all parties of a new hearing to be held and decided by another presiding officer. Once a decision has become final as provided by statute, the presiding officer has no jurisdiction to reopen the record or vacate the decision.

(4) A request to reopen a record or vacate a decision may be heard ex parte by the presiding officer. The granting or denial of such a request may be used as a grounds for appeal to the employment appeal board of the department of inspections and appeals upon the issuance of the presiding officer's final decision in the case.

(5) If good cause for postponement or reopening has not been shown, the presiding officer shall make a decision based upon whatever evidence is properly in the record.

The administrative law judge has carefully reviewed evidence in the record and concludes that the unemployment insurance decision previously entered in this case is correct and should be affirmed.

Pursuant to the rule, the appellant must make a written request to the administrative law judge that the hearing be reopened within 15 days after the mailing date of this decision. The written request should be mailed to the administrative law judge at the address listed at the beginning of this decision and must explain the emergency or other good cause that prevented the appellant from participating in the hearing at its scheduled time.

DECISION:

The Agency representatives April 26, 2013, reference 01, decision is affirmed. The decision that allowed benefits provided the claimant was otherwise eligible and that held the employer's account may be charged remains in effect. This decision will become final unless a written request establishing good cause to reopen the record is made to the administrative law judge within 15 days of the date of this decision.

James E. Timberland
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/css