

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**BRANDON L JACKSON**  
Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-04137-NT**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**MENARD INC**  
Employer

**OC: 02/15/09**  
**Claimant: Respondent (1)**

Section 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Protest

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

Menard Inc. filed an appeal from a representative's decision dated March 6, 2009, reference 03, which the protest concerning Brandon Jackson's separation on October 13, 2007 was not timely filed. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone on April 9, 2009. Although duly notified, the claimant did not participate. The employer participated by Mr. Andy Maw, First Assistant Manager.

**ISSUE:**

At issue in this matter is whether the employer filed a timely protest as required by law.

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

The administrative law judge having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: The claimant's notice of claim was mailed to the employer's address of record on February 19, 2009 and received by the employer at its headquarters, the employer's chosen address of record within ten days. The notice of claim contains a warning that any protest must be postmarked or returned not later than ten days from the initial mailing date. The Menard's headquarters forwarded the notice of claim to the Ottumwa, Iowa office. It was received at that office on February 27, 2009 but not faxed to Workforce Development until the following Tuesday, March 3, 2009 which is after the ten-day period had expired.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

Iowa Code section 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant.

Another portion of this same Code section dealing with timeliness of an appeal from a representative's decision states that such an appeal must be filed within ten days after notification of that decision was mailed. In addressing an issue of timeliness of an appeal under that portion of this Code section, the Iowa Supreme Court held that this statute prescribing the time for notice of appeal clearly limits the time to do so, and that compliance with the appeal notice provision is mandatory and jurisdictional. Beardslee v. IDJS, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979).

The administrative law judge considers the reasoning and holding of that court in that decision to be controlling on this portion of that same Iowa Code section which deals with a time limit in which to file a protest after notification of the filing of the claim has been mailed. The employer has not shown any good cause for not complying with the jurisdictional time limit. Therefore, the administrative law judge is without jurisdiction to entertain any appeal regarding the separation from employment.

The administrative law judge concludes the employer has failed to protest within the time period prescribed by the Iowa Employment Security Law. The delay was not due to any Agency error or misinformation, delay or other action by the United States Postal Service pursuant to 871 IAC 24.35(2). The administrative law judge further concludes that the employer has failed to timely protest pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.6-2 and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the claimant's termination of employment. See Beardslee v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979); Franklin v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 277 N.W.2d 877 (Iowa 1979) and Pepsi-Cola Bottling Company of Cedar Rapids v. Employment Appeal Board, 465 N.W.2d 674 (Iowa App. 1990).

#### **DECISION:**

The March 6, 2009, reference 03, decision is affirmed. The employer has failed to file a timely protest and the decision of the representative shall stand and remain in effect.

---

Terence P. Nice  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

css/css