

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

DONALD E WILLIAMSON
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-07068-SWT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

BROWNS CREW CAR OF WYOMING INC
Employer

**OC: 02/12/12
Claimant: Appellant (1)**

Section 96.4-3 - Availability
Section 96.6-2 - Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated March 30, 2012, reference 01, that denied him unemployment insurance benefits. A telephone hearing was held on July 10, 2012. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. The claimant participated in the hearing. Johniece Doublin participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer. Exhibit A-1 was admitted into evidence at the hearing.

ISSUE:

Was the appeal in this case filed timely?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

An unemployment insurance decision was mailed to the claimant's last-known address of record on March 30, 2012. The decision concluded the claimant was ineligible for benefits effective February 12, because he was still employed for the same hours and wages as his original contract of hire and stated the decision was final unless a written appeal was postmarked or received by the Appeals Section by April 9, 2012.

The claimant received the decision within the ten-day period for appealing the decision. He never appealed the decision at that time. He was upset by the decision but later forgot about appealing it. He filed a written appeal on June 15, 2012, after he received an overpayment decision dated June 5, 2012.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue in this case is whether the claimant filed a timely appeal.

The law states that an unemployment insurance decision is final unless a party appeals the decision within ten days after the decision was mailed to the party's last known address. Iowa Code § 96.6-2.

The Iowa Supreme Court has ruled that appeals from unemployment insurance decisions must be filed within the time limit set by statute and the administrative law judge has no authority to review a decision if a timely appeal is not filed. Franklin v. IDJS, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979); Beardslee v. IDJS, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979). In this case, the claimant's appeal was filed after the deadline for appealing expired.

The next question is whether the claimant had a reasonable opportunity to file an appeal in a timely fashion. Hendren v. IESC, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); Smith v. IESC, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). There is no evidence that the claimant did not have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal.

The failure to file a timely appeal was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service, which under 871 IAC 24.35(2) would excuse the delay in filing an appeal. Since the appeal was not filed timely, there is no jurisdiction to make a decision on the merits of the appeal.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated March 30, 2012, reference 01, is affirmed. The appeal in this case was not timely, and the unemployment insurance decision disqualifying the claimant from receiving benefits remains in effect.

Steven A. Wise
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

saw/pjs