#### BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

JEREMY L KELLY	HEARING NUMBER: 17BUI-00423
Claimant	
and	EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD DECISION
WELLS FARGO BANK NA	

Employer

**SECTION:** 10A.601 Employment Appeal Board Review

# DECISION

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

A hearing in the above matter was scheduled for February 2, 2017 in which the issues to be determined were whether the claimant was laid off; discharged for misconduct; or whether the claimant voluntarily left for good cause attributable to the employer.

At the hearing, the Claimant testified that his last day worked was July 11, 2016. He subsequently accumulated enough points to warrant termination due to attendance. (12:49-12:58; 15:15-15:23)) He also testified that once he received short-term disability, he didn't have to report his absences. (13:11-13:19)

The administrative law judge's decision was issued February 6, 2017, which determined that the Claimant was separated from employment without good cause attributable to the Employer and denied benefits. The administrative law judge's decision has been appealed to the Employment Appeal Board.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

Iowa Code section 10A.601(4) (2015) provides:

5. Appeal board review. The appeal board may on its own motion affirm, modify, or set aside any decision of an administrative law judge on the basis of the evidence previously submitted in such case, or direct the taking of additional evidence, or may permit any of the parties to such decision to initiate further appeals before it. The appeal board shall permit such further appeal by any of the parties interested in a decision of an administrative law judge and by the representative whose decision has been overruled or modified by the administrative law judge. The appeal board shall review the case pursuant to rules adopted by

the appeal board. The appeal board shall promptly notify the interested parties of its findings and decision.

The Employment Appeal Board concludes that the record as it stands is insufficient for the Board to issue a decision on the merits of the case. As the Iowa Court of Appeals noted in *Baker v. Employment Appeal Board*, 551 N.W. 2d 646 (Iowa App. 1996), the administrative law judge has a heightened duty to develop the record from available evidence and testimony given the administrative law judge's presumed expertise. There is nothing in this record to establish when the Claimant actually began his short-term disability, nor the actual date it ended. Since we do not know the answers to these questions, the Board must remand this matter for the taking of additional evidence to determine this time frame.

#### **DECISION:**

The decision of the administrative law judge dated February 6, 2017 is not vacated and remains in force unless and until the Department makes a differing determination pursuant to this remand. This matter is remanded to an administrative law judge in the Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau, for further development of the record consistent with this decision, unless otherwise already addressed. The administrative law judge shall conduct a hearing following due notice, if necessary. If a hearing is held, then the administrative law judge shall issue a decision which provides the parties appeal rights.

Kim D. Schmett

Ashley R. Koopmans

AMG/fnv

James M. Strohman