#### IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JOSE L NAPOLES Claimant

## APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-10071-H2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

# WEST LIBERTY FOODS LLC

Employer

OC: 04-04-10 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge/Misconduct 871 IAC 24.32(7) – Excessive Unexcused Absenteeism

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the July 13, 2010, reference 02, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on September 1, 2010. The claimant did participate. The employer did participate through Monica Dyar, Human Resources Supervisor. Employer's Exhibit One was entered and received into the record.

### **ISSUE:**

Was the claimant discharged due to job related misconduct?

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a decaser full time beginning June 1, 2010 through June 21, 2010 when he was discharged.

The claimant was discharged from employment due to a final incident of absenteeism that occurred on June 18, 2010 when he was tardy to work. The claimant was tardy twice in his three short weeks of employment including an incident on June 15. He also did not qualify for any leave time since he was such a new employee and he took two personal days on June 8 and June 17. The claimant was given the employer's policy on June 1, when he was hired putting him on notice that three occurrences in the first 90 days of his employment under the attendance policy would lead to his discharge. The claimant had adequate notice of the attendance policy.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code § 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. The term "absenteeism" also encompasses conduct that is more accurately referred to as "tardiness." An absence is an extended tardiness, and an incident of tardiness is a limited absence. Absences related to issues of personal responsibility such as transportation, lack of childcare, and oversleeping are not considered excused. *Higgins v. Iowa Department of Job Service*, 350 N.W.2d 187 (Iowa 1984).

An employer is entitled to expect its employees to report to work as scheduled or to be notified as to when and why the employee is unable to report to work. The employer has established that the claimant knew what the attendance policy was during the first 90 days of his employment and that three occurrences could result in termination of employment and the final absence was not excused. The final absence, in combination with the claimant's history of unexcused absenteeism, is considered excessive. Benefits are withheld.

### DECISION:

The July 13, 2010 (reference 02) decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to excessive, unexcused absenteeism. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Teresa K. Hillary Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

tkh/pjs