

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JENNIFER H ROSENOW
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-09171-S2T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

**OC: 07/15/12
Claimant: Appellant (1)**

Section 871 IAC 24.2(1)a & h(1) & (2) – Request to Backdate Claim

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Jennifer Rosenow (claimant) appealed a representative's July 24, 2012 decision (reference 01) that denied a request to backdate the claim for benefits. After a hearing notice was mailed to the claimant's last-known address of record, a telephone hearing was held on August 20, 2012. The claimant did participate.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant's request for retroactive unemployment insurance benefits should be granted.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was laid off from July 2 through July 6, 2012. The claimant remembered someone from Workforce Development telling her years ago that she should file her claim the week after she was laid off. Another worker told her to file her claim during her week of unemployment. The claimant did not read the instructions before filing her claim online on July 11, 2012. She waited a week, read the instructions and refiled after July 15, 2012.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's request to backdate his claim should be denied.

871 IAC 24.2(1)h(1), (2) and (3) provide:

Procedures for workers desiring to file a claim for benefits for unemployment insurance.

(1) Section 96.6 of the employment security law of Iowa states that claims for benefits shall be made in accordance with such rules as the department prescribes. The department of workforce development accordingly prescribes:

h. Effective starting date for the benefit year.

(1) Filing for benefits shall be effective as of Sunday of the current calendar week in which, subsequent to the individual's separation from work, an individual reports in person at a workforce development center and registers for work in accordance with paragraph "a" of this rule.

(2) The claim may be backdated prior to the first day of the calendar week in which the claimant does report and file a claim for the following reasons:

Backdated prior to the week in which the individual reported if the individual presents to the department sufficient grounds to justify or excuse the delay;

There is scheduled filing in the following week because of a mass layoff;

The failure of the department to recognize the expiration of the claimant's previous benefit year;

The individual is given incorrect advice by a workforce development employee;

The claimant filed an interstate claim against another state which has been determined as ineligible;

Failure on the part of the employer to comply with the provisions of the law or of these rules;

Coercion or intimidation exercised by the employer to prevent the prompt filing of such claim;

Failure of the department to discharge its responsibilities promptly in connection with such claim, the department shall extend the period during which such claim may be filed to a date which shall be not less than one week after the individual has received appropriate notice of potential rights to benefits, provided, that no such claim may be filed after the 13 weeks subsequent to the end of the benefit year during which the week of unemployment occurred. In the event continuous jurisdiction is exercised under the provisions of the law, the department may, in its discretion, extend the period during which claims, with respect to week of unemployment affected by such redetermination, may be filed.

(3) When the benefit year expires on any day but Saturday, the effective date of the new claim is the Sunday of the current week in which the claim is filed even though it may overlap into the old benefit year up to six days. However, backdating shall not be allowed at the change of the calendar quarter if the backdating would cause an overlap of the same quarter in two base periods. When the overlap situation occurs, the effective date of the new claim may be postdated up to six days. If the claimant has benefits remaining on the old claim, the claimant may be eligible for benefits for that period by extending the old benefit year up to six days.

The claimant did not know how to reopen her claim but did not request help from the Department in opening that claim. The claimant has not established sufficient grounds to justify or excuse the delay in establishing her claim for benefits. Her request to backdate her claim to July 1, 2012, is denied.

DECISION:

The representative's July 24, 2012 decision (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant's request to backdate her claim to July 1, 2012, is denied.

Beth A. Scheetz
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/pjs