IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

KELLY J MCDONOUGH

Claimant

APPEAL 19A-UI-06531-DB-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

PRELUDE BEHAVIORAL SERVICES

Employer

OC: 07/21/19

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the August 9, 2019, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon her voluntarily quitting work without good cause attributable to the employer. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on September 11, 2019. The claimant, Kelly J. McDonough, participated personally. The employer, Prelude Behavioral Services, participated through witness Lolita Windsor.

ISSUE:

Did claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full-time as a patient account specialist. She began working approximately November 13, 2018 and her employment ended on July 12, 2019, when she resigned. Her job duties included completing financial intake work, submitting insurance and other tasks as assigned. Her immediate supervisor was Lolita Windsor. Claimant's family resides in Mason City, Iowa. She decided to move back to Mason City, Iowa to be with her family. She gave a two-week notice in a written email when she resigned her position. There was continuing work available if claimant had not voluntarily quit her employment. Claimant was not going to be discharged or laid off for lack of work.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes as follows:

Iowa Code §96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

A voluntary quitting means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer and requires an intention to terminate the employment. *Wills v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 447 N.W. 2d 137, 138 (Iowa 1989). Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(2) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(2) The claimant moved to a different locality.

Claimant voluntarily quit to move closer to her family. While claimant's leaving the employment may have been based upon good personal reasons, it was not for a good-cause reason attributable to the employer, according to lowa law. Benefits must be denied.

DECISION:

The August 9, 2019 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Claimant voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Unemployment insurance benefits are denied until claimant has worked in and earned wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount after her separation date of July 12, 2019, and provided she is otherwise eligible.

Dawn Boucher	
Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	

db/scn