# IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

**JAMES G GRIFFIN** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 24A-UI-03031-JT-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

FIRST CAPITOL BAKING INC

Employer

OC: 02/25/24

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code Section 96.5(2)(a) & (d) – Discharge

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On March 18, 2024, James Griffin (claimant) filed a timely appeal from the March 14, 2024 (reference 01) decision that disqualified the claimant for benefits and that relieved the employer's account of charge for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion that the claimant was discharged on February 20, 2024 for excessive unexcused absences. After due notice was issued, a hearing commenced on April 9, 2024 and concluded on April 10, 2024. Claimant participated. Judd Peskin, General Counsel, represented the employer and presented testimony through Kristen Mason. Exhibits 1 through 4, A, B and C were received into evidence.

## ISSUE:

Whether the claimant was discharged for misconduct in connection with the employment.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

James Griffin (claimant) was employed by First Capitol Baking, Inc. as a full-time bakery production line worker from December 2022 until February 20, 2024, when the employer discharged him for attendance. The claimant's work hours were 6:00 a.m. to 2:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. Kristen Mason, Production Manager, was the claimant's immediate supervisor. The final absences that triggered the discharge occurred on February 15 and 16, 2024. On Thursday, February 15, 2024, the claimant left work early due to illness. The claimant appropriately notified the employer of his need to leave the workplace due to illness prior to departing from the workplace. The claimant's fiancé then transported the claimant to Great River Health, where the claimant was diagnosed with swine flu, a communicable illness. The medical provider took the claimant off work for the remainder of the week and provided a medical release form that said the claimant could return to work on February 19, 2024. Once the claimant was done at the medical facility, the claimant's fiancé drove to the workplace and delivered the medical release form to the employer. The claimant was at that point too sick with the communicable illness to personally deliver the medical release form to the employer. On

Friday, February 16, 2024, the claimant appropriately notified the employer of his need to be absent due to illness.

Prior to the next scheduled shift on Monday, February 19, 2024, the employer notified the claimant there would be no work on February 19 or 20, 2024, Monday and Tuesday, due to a broken bakery oven. Though the claimant was ready, willing and able to report for work both days, he complied with the employer's directive and did not report for work on those days. On February 20, 2024, the employer notified the claimant he was being discharged from the employment for attendance. The employer documented absences and assessed absence occurrence points through February 16, 2024. The employer did not document absences or assess attendance occurrence points for February 19 or 20, 2024.

The next most recent absences that factored in the discharge occurred on January 17 and 18, 2024, when the claimant was absent due to illness and properly reported the absences to the employer. Despite these absences being due to illness and being properly reported to the employer, the employer used the absences as a basis for placing the claimant on a "New Hire Attendance Probation." Under the terms of the probation, the claimant would be discharged from the employment if he was absent during the subsequent 30-day period without prior approval from the employer.

The employer considered earlier absences and discipline, when making the decision to discharge the claimant from the employment.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

lowa Code section 96.5(2)(a) and (d) provides as follows:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.
- d. For the purposes of this subsection, "misconduct" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following:
  - (2) Knowing violation of a reasonable and uniformly enforced rule of an employer.
  - (9) Excessive unexcused tardiness or absenteeism.

. . .

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. For the purposes of this rule, "misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such a degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following:
  - (2) Knowing violation of a reasonable and uniformly enforced rule of an employer.
  - (9) Excessive unexcused tardiness or absenteeism.

. . .

The employer has the burden of proof in this matter. See Iowa Code section 96.6(2). Misconduct must be substantial in order to justify a denial of unemployment benefits. Misconduct serious enough to warrant the discharge of an employee is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of unemployment benefits. See *Lee v. Employment Appeal Board*, 616 N.W.2d 661 (Iowa 2000). The focus is on deliberate, intentional, or culpable acts by the employee. See *Gimbel v. Employment Appeal Board*, 489 N.W.2d 36, 39 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992).

While past acts and warnings can be used to determine the magnitude of the current act of misconduct, a discharge for misconduct cannot be based on such past act(s). The termination of employment must be based on a current act. See 871 IAC 24.32(8). In determining whether the conduct that prompted the discharge constituted a "current act," the administrative law judge considers the date on which the conduct came to the attention of the employer and the date on which the employer notified the claimant that the conduct subjected the claimant to possible discharge. See also *Greene v. EAB*, 426 N.W.2d 659, 662 (Iowa App. 1988).

Allegations of misconduct or dishonesty without additional evidence shall not be sufficient to result in disqualification. If the employer is unwilling to furnish available evidence to corroborate the allegation, misconduct cannot be established. See Iowa Administrative Code rule 87124.32(4).

In order for a claimant's absences to constitute misconduct that would disqualify the claimant from receiving unemployment insurance benefits, the evidence must establish that the claimant's *unexcused* absences were excessive. The determination of whether absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. However, the evidence must first establish that the most recent absence that prompted the decision to discharge the employee was unexcused. See Iowa Administrative Code rule 87124.32(8). Absences related to issues of personal responsibility such as transportation and oversleeping are considered unexcused. On the other hand, absences related to illness are considered excused, provided the employee has complied with the employer's policy regarding notifying the employer of the absence. Tardiness is a form of absence. See *Higgins v. Iowa Department of Job Service*,

350 N.W.2d 187 (lowa 1984). Employers may not graft on additional requirements to what is an excused absence under the law. See *Gaborit v. Employment Appeal Board*, 743 N.W.2d 554 (lowa Ct. App. 2007). For example, an employee's failure to provide a doctor's note in connection with an absence that was due to illness properly reported to the employer will not alter the fact that such an illness would be an excused absence under the law. *Gaborit*, 743 N.W.2d at 557.

The evidence in the record establishes a February 20, 2024 discharge for no disqualifying reason. The final absence that factored in the discharge was Friday, February 16, 2024, when the claimant was absent due to illness and with proper notice to the employer. The absence was an excused absence under the applicable law. The claimant was also absent due to illness and with proper notice to the employer on February 15 and January 17 and 18, 2024. Each of these absences was an excused absence under the applicable law and cannot serve as a basis for disqualifying the claimant for unemployment insurance benefits, regardless of the earlier attendance history, the employer's attendance point system, and the "New Hire Attendance Probation.". The evidence fails to establish a current act of misconduct in connection with the employment. For that reason, the administrative law judge need not consider earlier absences. The claimant is eligible for benefits, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The employer's account may be charged for benefits.

#### **DECISION:**

The March 14, 2024 (reference 01) decision is REVERSED. The claimant was discharged on February 20, 2024 for no disqualifying reason. The claimant is eligible for benefits, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The employer's account may be charged for benefits.

James E. Timberland Administrative Law Judge

James & Timberland

April 17, 2024\_ Decision Dated and Mailed

scn

**APPEAL RIGHTS.** If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Ave Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

#### AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf.

**Note to Parties:** YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

**Note to Claimant:** It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

# **SERVICE INFORMATION:**

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Ave Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

#### UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que está en línea en <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf">https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</a>.

**Nota para las partes:** USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

**Nota para el reclamante:** es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

# SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.