

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section  
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319  
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

DUSTIN W VROEGH  
103 S 9<sup>TH</sup> ST  
EDDYVILLE IA 52553

MAURICES INC  
C/O TALX UC EXPRESS  
PO BOX 283  
ST LOUIS MO 63166-0283

Appeal Number: 04A-UI-06674-HT  
OC: 05/16/04 R: 03  
Claimant: Respondent (2)

**This Decision Shall Become Final**, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319**.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

---

(Administrative Law Judge)

---

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge  
Section 96.3-7 – Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer, Maurice's, filed an appeal from a decision dated June 7, 2004, reference 01. The decision allowed benefits to the claimant, Dustin Vroegh. After due notice was issued a hearing was held by telephone conference call on July 13, 2004. The claimant did not provide a telephone number where he could be contacted and did not participate. The employer participated by Regional Loss Prevention Manager Ann Wood, Human Resources Manager Barb Olson, and District Sales Manager Jim Willits. Exhibits One, Two and Three were admitted into the record.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony of the witnesses and having examined all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Dustin Vroegh was employed by Maurice's from December 8, 2003 until May 21, 2004. He was a full-time first assistant manager in the Oskaloosa, Iowa, store.

In May 2004, Regional Loss Prevention Manager Ann Wood noticed a large number of cash refunds being made by Mr. Vroegh. These transactions are tracked by the computer system and will "flag" certain transactions, which occur in unusual numbers. Ms. Wood did an initial investigation, which included tracking the merchandise listed as "returned" on the transactions. The physical inventory could not be reconciled with the computer records.

The matter was referred to District Sales Manager Jim Willits on May 18, 2004. He reviewed the material provided by Ms. Wood then went to the store and interviewed the claimant on May 21, 2004. At first, the claimant denied making fraudulent cash refunds but later admitted to doing it and signed a statement admitting to entering refunds into the system and keeping the money. The amount of money taken was \$452.61. He was discharged by Mr. Willits at that time.

Dustin Vroegh has received unemployment benefits since filing a claim with an effective date of May 16, 2004.

#### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the claimant is disqualified. The judge concludes he is.

Iowa Code Section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

(1) Definition.

a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an

intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. Huntoon v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

The claimant admitted to taking money from the employer by entering fraudulent cash refunds into the computer system. This is theft and is conduct not in the best interests of the employer. He is disqualified.

Iowa Code Section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The claimant has received unemployment benefits to which he is not entitled. These must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of Iowa law.

#### DECISION:

The representative's decision of June 7, 2004, reference 01, is reversed. Dustin Vroegh is disqualified and benefits are withheld until he has earned ten times his weekly benefit amount provided he is otherwise eligible. He is overpaid in the amount of \$1,100.00.

bgh/kjf