IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

JULIE A BAYLOR

APPEAL 24A-UI-08555-PT-T

Claimant

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

URBANDALE COMMUNITY SCHOOL DIST

Employer

OC: 09/08/24

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quit from Employment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant, Julie Baylor, filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated September 27, 2024, (reference 01) that held the claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits after a separation from employment. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 16, 2024. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated through Human Resources Director Loren DeKruyf. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

ISSUE:

Whether the claimant was discharged for disqualifying, job-related misconduct.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Prior to the claimant's employment with Urbandale Community School District, the claimant worked as a full-time special education teacher at a different school district. In April 2023, the school district placed the claimant on administrative leave while it investigated allegations of misconduct against the claimant. The school district reported the allegations to the lowa Board of Educational Examiners (lowa BOEE) and the lowa BOEE commenced a separate investigation into the allegations against the claimant. The claimant separated from the other school district in the summer of 2023.

In early-October 2023, the claimant applied for a special education teacher position with Urbandale Community School District. Before the claimant was hired, she was required to complete an application. One of the questions in the application asked if the claimant had ever been placed on administrative leave or been under investigation by a previous employer. The claimant answered "No."

The claimant was hired and began working for Urbandale Community School District on October 16, 2024. The employer maintains a policy which prohibits misrepresentations, falsifications, or material omissions in the employment application or hiring process. The policy

warns employees that violations of the policy can result in termination of employment. The claimant was aware of the policy.

On May 17, 2024, the Iowa BOEE posted a public note on the claimant's teaching license stating that it had determined there was probable cause the claimant had violated the rules of professional conduct and the code of ethics. After the note was posted, the employer learned of the investigation into the claimant's conduct by the other school district and the Iowa BOEE. The employer called the claimant into a meeting and questioned her about the investigation and whether she had failed to disclose that information on her employment application. The claimant admitted that she had not disclosed the existence of the investigation or the fact that she had been placed on administrative leave by the other school district. That summer, the employer informed the claimant that she could either resign her employment, or her employment would be terminated. On August 20, 2024, the claimant chose to resign her employment.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code §96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(21) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(21) The claimant was compelled to resign when given the choice of resigning or being discharged. This shall not be considered a voluntary leaving.

The evidence in the record shows the separation was technically caused by the claimant resigning from her job. However, the claimant would not have been able to continue working as a teacher if she had not resigned. Since the claimant would not have been allowed to continue working had she not resigned, this separation was a discharge.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)d(1) and (2) provide:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- d. For the purposes of this subsection, "misconduct" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following:

. . .

(1) Material falsification of the individual's employment application.

. . .

(2) Knowing violation of a reasonable and uniformly enforced rule of an employer.

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. *Cosper v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982). The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating the claimant, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits. *Infante v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 364 N.W.2d 262 (lowa Ct. App. 1984). Misconduct serious enough to warrant discharge is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of job insurance benefits. Such misconduct must be "substantial." *Newman v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 351 N.W.2d 806 (lowa Ct. App. 1984). The law limits disqualifying misconduct to substantial and willful wrongdoing or repeated carelessness or negligence that equals willful misconduct in culpability. *Lee v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 616 N.W.2d 661 (lowa 2000).

The employer has presented substantial and credible evidence that the claimant knowingly falsified information on her job application. The claimant admitted that she intentionally chose not to disclose on her application that she was under investigation by her previous employer and that she had been placed on administrative leave. A work rule requiring honesty is not necessary; honesty is a reasonable, commonly accepted duty owed to the employer. The claimant's misconduct in this case was substantial. An employer is entitled to expect honesty from its employees and must be able to trust its employees to do their jobs. The claimant's conduct shows a deliberate disregard for the interest of the employer and is disqualifying. As such, benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The September 27, 2024, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged for disqualifying, job-related misconduct. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld until the claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the claimant's weekly benefit amount after her August 20, 2024, separation date, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Patrick B. Thomas

Administrative Law Judge

October 21, 2024

Decision Dated and Mailed

pbt/scn

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Iowa Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Iowa Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https:///www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.