

**IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION, UI APPEALS BUREAU**

JOANNA M THOMAS
Claimant

VANDER VENDING INC
Employer

APPEAL NO. 22A-UI-17156-JT-T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**OC: 08/14/22
Claimant: Respondent (2)**

Iowa Code Section 96.5(2)(a) & (d) – Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On September 14, 2022, the employer filed a timely appeal from the September 9, 2022 (reference 01) decision that allowed benefits to the claimant, provided the claimant met all other eligibility requirements, and that held the employer's account could be charged for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion that the claimant was discharged on July 1, 2022 for no disqualifying reason. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on October 12, 2022. Joanna Thomas (claimant) did not comply with the hearing notice instructions to call the designated toll-free number at the time of the hearing and did not participate. Claudia Ridenour represented the employer. The administrative law judge took official notice of the Agency's record of benefits disbursed to the claimant (DBRO), which record reflects no have been disbursed to the claimant in connection with the claim.

ISSUE:

Whether the claimant was discharged for misconduct in connection with the employment.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

Joanna Thomas (claimant) was employed by Vander Vending, Inc. as a part-time freight picker from November 2021 until July 1, 2022, when the employer discharged her from the employment for theft of employer property. On July 1, 2022, the claimant's supervisor, Don Muse, General Manager, discovered the claimant in a gated area of the employer's warehouse facility where the employer's drivers return and store vending machines. The vending machines sometimes contain money when they are returned to the employer's warehouse. The claimant had no legitimate basis for being in the gated area. The claimant would not need to access the area to perform any aspect of her freight -picking duties. When Mr. Muse discovered the claimant, the claimant was in possession of a bucket the claimant had been using to store money the claimant collected from the vending machines. The bucket contained a substantial amount of money. No one had asked the claimant to collect money from the vending machines. No one had authorized the claimant to access or retrieve money from the vending machines. When confronted, the claimant made the implausible assertion that she was in the vending

machine area to help the employer by collecting money from the machines with the intention of giving the money to Mr. Muse. Mr. Muse and Claudia Ridenour, Operations Manager, discharged the claimant from the employment.

The claimant established an original claim for benefits that was effective August 14, 2022, but has received no benefits in connection with the claim.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)(a) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)(a) provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

(1) Definition.

a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. *Huntoon v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979). The Legislature recently codified the misconduct definition along with a list of types of disqualifying misconduct. The list includes "Theft of an employer or coworker's funds or property." See Iowa Code section 96.5(2)(d)(13).

The employer has the burden of proof in this matter. See Iowa Code section 96.6(2). Misconduct must be substantial in order to justify a denial of unemployment benefits. Misconduct serious enough to warrant the discharge of an employee is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of unemployment benefits. See *Lee v. Employment Appeal Board*,

616 N.W.2d 661 (Iowa 2000). The focus is on deliberate, intentional, or culpable acts by the employee. See *Gimbel v. Employment Appeal Board*, 489 N.W.2d 36, 39 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992).

While past acts and warnings can be used to determine the magnitude of the current act of misconduct, a discharge for misconduct cannot be based on such past act(s). The termination of employment must be based on a current act. See Iowa Admin. Code r.871 -24.32(8). In determining whether the conduct that prompted the discharge constituted a "current act," the administrative law judge considers the date on which the conduct came to the attention of the employer and the date on which the employer notified the claimant that the conduct subjected the claimant to possible discharge. See also *Greene v. EAB*, 426 N.W.2d 659, 662 (Iowa App. 1988).

Allegations of misconduct or dishonesty without additional evidence shall not be sufficient to result in disqualification. If the employer is unwilling to furnish available evidence to corroborate the allegation, misconduct cannot be established. See 871 IAC 24.32(4).

Iowa Code section 714.1 provides, in relevant part, as follows:

- 714.1 Theft defined. A person commits theft when the person does any of the following:
1. Takes possession or control of the property of another, or property in the possession of another, with the intent to deprive the other thereof.
 2. Misappropriates property which the person has in trust, or property of another which the person has in the person's possession or control, whether such possession or control is lawful or unlawful, by using or disposing of it in a manner which is inconsistent with or a denial of the trust or of the owner's rights in such property, or conceals found property, or appropriates such property to the person's own use, when the owner of such property is known to the person.

The evidence in the record establishes a July 1, 2022 discharge for misconduct in connection with the employment. On July 1, 2022, the employer caught the claimant in the act of theft, stealing money from the employer's vending machines. The claimant knew the money belonged to the employer. The claimant was caught with the employer's money in her unauthorized possession and in the process of appropriating the employer's money to her own unauthorized use. The claimant's conduct demonstrated a willful and wanton disregard of the employer's interests. The claimant is disqualified for benefits until she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to 10 times her weekly benefit amount. The claimant must meet all other eligibility requirements. The employer's account shall not be charged for benefits.

Because the claimant has received no benefits in connection with the claim, there is no benefit overpayment to address.

DECISION:

The September 9, 2022 (reference 01) decision is REVERSED. The claimant was discharged on July 1, 2022 for misconduct in connection with the employment. The claimant is disqualified for unemployment benefits until she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to 10 times her weekly benefit amount. The claimant must meet all other eligibility requirements. The employer's account shall not be charged for benefits.



James E. Timberland
Administrative Law Judge

October 17, 2022
Decision Dated and Mailed

scn

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

**Employment Appeal Board
4th Floor – Lucas Building
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Fax: (515)281-7191
Online: eab.iowa.gov**

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at Iowa Code §17A.19, which is online at <https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf>.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

**Employment Appeal Board
4th Floor – Lucas Building
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Fax: (515)281-7191
En línea: eab.iowa.gov**

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiriera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que está en línea en <https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf>.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.